

Bruckner

Harry

President James R. Hoffa
% International Brotherhood of Teamsters
25 Louisiana Avenue
Washington, D.C.

May 19, 1959

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE

Bruce K. Newberry

X

X

Dear President Hoffa:

Once again as an admirer of your, I wish to praise the positive action you used in recognizing the strength of continuing all transportation workers into an union.

The reaction was commendable and understandable both, and must have caused the greatest apprehension in the ranks of the noble union leaders who conspired against you with the consent of Mr. Long, Tracy and the Committee on Political Education.

I am positive that from my correspondence, it must be clear to you that our great country would be in great peril if the methods you dramatically demonstrated were unrestricted. By illustrating how combined unions could paralyze our nation you alerted the Congress that additional legislation must be added to the Landis-Cris bill despite any threats from Mr. Tracy.

It is extremely regrettable that your persecution instead of pressing you wisely attempt to verify you further. However we know that they are only buying themselves by establishing the need for stronger legislation.

The name of James R. Hoffa, at present, may be scorned, but I can assure you that the responsible people who care for the welfare of our country understand and will never forget how you sacrificed your regained prestige in order to expose and destroy the Committee on Political Education.

I pray that the leaders of the Republican Party will recognize your superb demonstration of positive action and with the courage to invite me to appear before the House Committee on Labor and Education Committee. It would be these kind discussions for them to allow the responsibility of your name to be used as a primary campaign issue by those dedicated to financing the infamous business of the "Red Club" with yourself. I am a Republican, and could name all that pressure, and unfavorable publicity.

② The information I sent to Attorney General Rogers was deliberately done with the intention of positive action. Instead of a routine case, which easily could have been dismissed, the invisible government in the Justice Department has now, then they bargained for your attorneys can readily see that the charge against the Obnoxious Union and the Employer associations is completely shown, and more properly should have read, versus Dr. David Debusky doing business as the International Shoe, Serant Watson Union.

I sense that Mr. Debusky is giving one of the finest and greatest performances of political cunning and craft. I wish of his nature that much more embarrassing for the indictment to be quashed.

As they say in show business, the show must go on, and if Mr. Robert Brady is reluctant to hold hearings at this late date on the Brown Industry for fear of alienating his brother's expectations, he can be assured that the anti-trust case will provide an interesting reading, for that is in the calendar.

From Chicago, stood for statements by and, the State Department already has acknowledged my ability for the privilege of offering as a Republican and anti-trust member with views on reversing and strengthening the Kennedy-Eisen bill before the Senate Committee could discharge, and who is to say that my friends in the Brown Industry will be about the anti-trust case.

Your rise from poverty to leadership of the Senate Union, vividly shows that our country is still the land of opportunity. We shall always remain free and strong so long as citizens like yourself persevere solely because of their high, are ungranted to defend themselves in a court of law. Fight all the slanders against you as you and no know for a conviction.

Although I may have to refer to you with reference to possible congressional practice, I shall be as well the greatest respect your sacrifice today stands in my opinion, with those who served our country above and beyond the call of duty.

Once again I believe will but wish for the future.

Sincerely yours
Harry Brockhaus
HARRY BROCKHAUS
38-46 262 STREET
LITTLE ROCK, AR.

Hon. Roy Rogers, United States Attorney General
Justice Department
Washington, D.C.

May 18, 1959

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE
Bruckner, Harry
X

Dear Sir:

Reference is made to the unreasonable distortion made by Mr. David L. Dubinsky President International Ladies Garment Workers Union yesterday that your indictment of Olson and Weinstein was a 125 and several manufacturing associations was politically inspired.

I am certain that no member of President Eisenhower's Cabinet would be permitted to abuse his office in such a manner and if anything Mr. Dubinsky's remarks were purely political.

While on the topic of political attack, I wish to call to your attention the political roadblocks set up in Mr. Dubinsky's defense by the above named Chief Counsel of the Senate Select Committee Mr. Robert Kennedy. For some strange reason Mr. Kennedy refuses to hold public hearings on the Olson Industry and the waste, leading up to the general dress strike last year.

Mr. Dubinsky personally appealed for assistance from Mr. Kennedy and was assured it would be forthcoming. Mr. Kennedy also assured him that when hearings on the Olson Industry are held I would be called as a witness. Now a short and fair hearing would be sufficient to achieve constructive reform.

Why the hearings on the Olson Industry are suppressed would make a lengthy reading for the Select Committee is a Select Committee and apparently non-existent.

I strongly believe your staff would obtain a letter of assistance from a hearing on the Olson Industry and probably discover a peculiar connection between the Pennsylvania State Manufacturing Association and the recent Olson strike and the union.

As for his remarks about shielding union reported to have contributed to the garment industry your Committee obtained against Mr. John D. Bland the alleged conviction of Mr. Hoffa speak for themselves.

Mr. Dubinsky, who is on the Ethical Practices Committee NYA-CIO Unit

② Ordered Mr. Beck and Mr. Hilly make it evident that his vote against them was "purely political" for it is obvious they had no desire to finish the unfinished business of the New Deal, and had to be purged.

I instructed the one against the other is to be heard shortly. As a suggestion I urge you to ask the respondent, and at the same time, see very soon possible to induce the Selects Committee, to begin hearing on the Dress Industry.

Mr. Stubbins reports the above industry investigation by the Railroads Committee. Why we again affirm we believe himself should have any reason for claiming political persecution and refusing to cooperate.

I sincerely doubt that Mr. Little. The man is now happy about
reporting a child who has undertaken the mission to measure his office to conduct
his personal vision of finding the unperished business of the New World is perhaps
the unperished business of vision or dream.

At the Health Committee during Dr. Stoy's absence will have the opportunity to inform if to Co. the fact that I was tried for Carried this morning & their ~~offer~~ ^{offer} number and the fact my main purchase of paper & pencil. I suppose that there is no offer for during Dr. Stoy's absence.

known I should say that Mr. Labinsky is more afraid of me than
you and this is because we both are of the Jewish faith. However Mr. Labinsky
has undertaken a political career and it is possible he may be required to officiate
before the AF-CIO Anti-Trust Committee.

You will please the better still by your diligence. His excellence that requires greater care being put to his being in the presence of a good heart and following.

With best wishes. I am,

Sincerely Yours,
Harry Crookshank
HARRY CROOKSHANK
58-56 28th STREET
LITTLE ROCK, N. Y.

Presidential James L. Hoffa
% International Brotherhood of Teachers
25 Louisiana Avenue
Washington, D.C.

Dear President Hoffa:

May 10, 1959

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE

Bruckner, Harry

- X

X

Enclosed please find a letter to Senator McClellan which I am certain should interest you as well as all trade unionists. It almost the same truth he presented to AFL-CIO which condemning independent unions and employers in the transportation industry who abide by labor management regulations and collective agreements.

Only the Committee on Political Education AFL-CIO, which was so instructed in having the Senator spelled and presented so unfavorably to the public could approve of Senator McClellan's statement.

I believe it is high time for all trade unionists to recognize the manner the Committee on Political Education has become to our very survival.

A legitimate trade union need not look to the Congress in order to obtain a protected working condition for its members and the loyalty of the Senate members clearly point this out.

Mr. Joseph C. Adams' criticism of the Kennedy-Eisenhower well taken for under its very name by law could be reviewed in Federal Court to determine whether it was "reasonable." I feel confident we can live with restriction on blackmail picketing, secondary boycotts and elimination of so many kind but it has become evident that a movement exists to destroy all trade unions and place them under the manipulation of the Committee on Political Education to effect social reforms rather than the protection of the member work standards.

You are aware that I am a member of the International Brotherhood of Teachers Union and I have gone to great lengths to indicate to President David Dubinsky the perils of maintaining a partisan political approach in order to obtain benefits for the members.

I am closely following his statements made at the union convention in Miami Beach starting tomorrow and the AFL-CIO Executive

①

Committee meeting which started May 18.

If the AFL-CIO is destined to break up as columnist
Metcalf has informed it should know whether to support
the steelworkers legitimate claim to share in the steel companies
swollen profit after using less workers or to insist on raising the
minimum wage from \$1 to \$1.25 for non-union workers in order to secure
votes for candidates endorsed by the Committee on Political Education.

When a steelworker requests a wage increase because of increased
efficiency and swollen profit the cry of inflation is sounded however
the very same people would have no objection that raising the federal
minimum wage lowers the cost of living and provides employment for everyone.
But inflation is here to stay and there is just feeling themselves if
the labor labor union division will be smart for the future of the skilled
workers could agree to a cut in so long.

A labor union representative is praised for reporting
that a violated labor management regulation and still criminal laws
it becomes very clear that the labor Committee is seeing successful
purpose and unworthy of support.

I still maintain your idea for a Transportation Conference is
worthy of consideration and with patience and resourcefulness it may still
be a fact.

Now we can watch the President's duties by night
with but wishes for the future. I am,

Sincerely yours
Harry Bruckner
HARRY BRUCKNER
57 46 262 STREET
LITTLE ROCK, N.Y.

May 10 1959

Senator John McClellan
Bank Office Building
Washington D.C.

Dear Senator McClellan:

With reference to the hearings into the newspaper and magazine industry in New York City I believe it was a selection in the Senate Committee hearing.

I was amazed that a representative of the reputable New York Times was praised for asserting that he resorted to bribery ten years ago in order to obtain a beneficial agreement which he may not have been able to obtain if he had complied with the labor management regulations then in effect.

As for the payments allegedly made by the Beacon Press Company it appears evident that they payed also in order to obtain newspaper advantages and I sincerely wonder if they will cooperate with the District Attorney as well as they did with the Senate Committee.

All the reported newspaper practices are punishable under state laws as reported by Oswald in the New York Times. I believe that any additional legislation on your part at this time can only be interpreted as a deliberate attempt to shield the Kennedy. Even better is an admission that the Kennedy even better is unable to prevent the exploitation of union members by corrupt union officials bribed by management for more favorable terms.

Corruption has been admitted by management only. Therefore I believe you should recommend your anti-trust recommendations which can only punish the donors who bribe the union members who abide by labor management regulations.

Sincerely yours,
Harry Bruckner
HARRY BRUCKNER
57-46 252 STREET
LITTLE ROCK, N.Y.

Mr. James C. Mitchell Secretary of Labor
Department of Labor
Washington, D.C.

March 23, 1959

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE
Bruckner, Harry
X
X

Dear Sir:

For your consideration I wish to present my present views on
labor management relations and labor legislation.

Due to the fact that this subject has become a severe controversial issue, I feel that the new approach is in order. Therefore I intend that the types of blackmail, protection and monetary boycotts be withdrawn from any Federal legislation.

Although I favor voluntary union as best adapted to expedite any union
merely I leave to you the judgment which is preferable. However I maintain
that if voluntary must be compulsory. I believe that any political association program
must provide for the equal presentation of liberal and conservative views.

being a Republican. I am constantly humiliated and
embarrassed to read in my own newspaper, & to hear the Anti-Republican
Party, radical social views of the Executive in Political Education. Recently the
Educational Director published that they would be a one party system of
government in our country and obviously he was referring to the Republican Party.

If thoughtless or political objections are suggested how can anyone maintain that the American Labor movement is free & characteristic? Labor has no legitimate reason for objecting to a free press published under supervision of national and state authorities.

objections have been raised that the group is not small enough for some members ^{have} the unreasonable tolerance. However, it would be extremely impossible for anyone to claim that divergent views may not be published either, or prevented by people other than union members.

I still support political education is not made together.
I fear that ultimately our position every 7. life, most personal, along with the
Republican Party

I am confident you will recognize the threat and mind a, if
nothing else, that Congressional membership must provide for a bipartisan

② political education program, if a political education program is desired in Lebanon, best interest.

I sincerely regret any differences we had in the past, and it is very possible that if the provision had been enacted previously there would have been no occasion for me to be tried for Conduct Unbecoming a Union Member. My crime was criticizing political policies of a local socialist, and not in any manner best interest.

Surely the provision is reasonable, and could provide the basis for resolving any other problems.

With best wishes, I am,

Sincerely Yours,
Harry O. Barker
HARRY O. BARKER
58-46 262 STREET
LITTLE NECK, N.Y.

March 25, 1959

Mr. James R. Hoffa, President
International Brotherhood of Teamsters
25 Louisiana Avenue
Washington, D.C.

Dear Jimmy,

Enclosed is a letter to Secretary of Labor James A. Mitchell,
which I am certain you will find interesting.

Just the other day I received a letter from Mr. John L. Sp-
partment, that my views on the Berlin Crisis were very favorably received.
Mr. White House, and sent to all interested offices.

This conclusively confirmed my feelings that I had journalistic
ability, and I am certain that if given the opportunity, I would be
successful and an asset to any employer.

While on the subject, I wonder if you would be interested in
having me be your Educational Director or public relations advisor. You
personal welfare, as you know, has been of great concern to me and I have ideas
about what I can do for you in the future, in the trade union movement.

Although I would prefer to work for Slave Subsidy of the Inter-
national Labor Union, I believe I am prejudiced against Republicans
or Conservatives.

I am not afraid that you possibly could corrupt me, but if the
contents of the letter become known I feel certain that some may feel that I
could corrupt you. Stop worrying, remember you are not supposed to be
afraid of anybody, and realizing the Teamsters would give me great pleasure.

Awaiting your reply,
With best wishes, I am,

Sincerely yours,
Harry Beckman
HARRY BECKMAN
58-46 26th STREET
LITTLE ROCK, N.Y.

March 15 1959

Bruckner, Harry ©

2

As a real and full member of the Union I have for some time entertained
certain justifiable expectations which I should have been fully prepared to throw
not to mind. I have read in his labelled your, suitably inspired
and Dr. King, many his cannot be so easily; however, I know he is all fault
and should have a right to stand on.

The Ensent here is, in other, that a Court trial would draw the Union
 treasury and bring much adverse publicity. I feel certain I fear no more than
 you, however, if he were to a Ensent there, I will endeavor to assist him to
 understand our free enterprise system.

It will be interesting to observe whether Higgins fully reflects upon the last and the only reason that I am an enrolled Republican is to favor the views of Vice President Davis and brother Seward.

I trust I shall hear from you shortly.

cc Mrs David Sahrady
% International Ladies Garment Workers Union
1710 Broadway
N.Y. 24

Sincerely yours,
Harry Bruckner
HARRY BRUCKNER
ST-46 262 STREET
LITTLE NECK, N.Y.

Mr. Lohr, Carroll News and City Editor
New York Herald Tribune
230 West 44 Street
N.Y.C. 36
Dear Mr. Carroll:

March 6, 1959

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE

Bruckner, Harry

X

X

Words can not express how grateful I am towards you for indirectly to me in the biography of Bishop Fulton Sheen who is what apparently killed Cochran.

Your reporter Mr. Sager gloriously praised Bishop Sheen and I am thoroughly impressed by his extraordinary power in obtaining converts to the Catholic Church through his position as Director for the Society of Propagation of the Faith.

The description of how the Communist Mr. Louis Budenz received instruction and thereby gained respectability in promoting the Communist Party of the United States to work for the overthrow of the American Government is a miracle. I would not be surprised if mothers were telling their children that instead of the boy named Mr. Budenz will get them.

According to a letter I received from the Justice Department in effect, it indicates that the conversion obtained by persons like Mr. Budenz is approved. It is little wonder that so many people have had their lives ruined or reputation ruined from loyal informants whose apparent integrity had been attributed to.

Just a few weeks ago Mr. Budenz received from Bishop Sheen an "award" and was congratulated that he was the Director of North Carolina said to be the Director of North Carolina. Therefore I wonder if you would care investigate the Justice Department file to determine who provided immunity from prosecution and certified to the accuracy of Mr. Budenz's remarkable memory like a spring of fresh water and was full of kindness needed.

I read today how the Chief Counsel of the Senate Select Committee on Assassinations (Charlton) claimed that witnesses offered to assist Senator Kennedy if the Chief Counsel would "lay off". Did the Chief Counsel report this to Senator Kennedy or any other investigating agency? The newspaper report of this and stated the Chief Counsel released the information in a press release.

② Those who would doubt the integrity of the Chief Counsel, merely of
his claim the man in the room in 1958. If Mr. Hoffa
could not explain where he was on the night of February 29, 1958, it was
proved he was in the same room as the Chief Counsel, that undoubtedly
could be established by the proof.

The Chief Counsel is a dedicated man with a mission to
bring decency to labor management relations. I trust
that nothing will deter him, and he takes his task with more vigor
demonstrating the accuracy of his press release. Surely no one will
request that he be replaced or a technique that is order to prevent him
from completing his mission or identifying those who approached him.

As I stated before I find your biography of Desha very
interesting and I trust you will bring to light a similar
biography about Rt. Reverend James H. Pike. I find Reverend Pike's
religious programs most noteworthy and I believe his biography merits
equal introduction.

Cock Robin, pass as boy man and will live a lifetime the
same as you or I. He has a great know feeling in reading that his
message has been heard and our country is reunited, itself instead of drifting.

I close now with

Now I lay me down to sleep

I pray the Lord my soul to keep

And if I die before I wake

I pray the Lord my soul to take.

And bless Jimmy Daddie and those whose lives were destroyed
by foulies local politicians who frightened everyone so, they became vagabonds
in need to escape their wrath.

Cock Robin is paying the penalty for having fun, but the electric
penalty is much more severe.

Yours truly
Harry Bruckner
HARRY BRUCKNER
57-46 262 STREET
LITTLE NECK, N.Y.

February 1, 1958

Hon Nelson Rockefeller, Governor State of New York
State Capitol
Albany, N.Y.

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE

Buckner, Harry

X

X

Dear Governor Rockefeller:

Reference is made to the reply forwarded to me by your Industrial Commission, Mr. M. P. Catherwood concerning proposed labor legislation to ensure internal democracy in unions. I find the proposed recommended legislation completely inadequate, and even would qualify as being a hoax and a fraud and against the best interest of the citizens of this State.

Undoubtedly you must be aware that judgment of conviction was obtained against me by racketeering attorneys in the employ of the National Labor Relations Board. For reasons best known to themselves these lawyers (racketeers) refused to enforce the NLRB's law and resorted to corrupt tactics in an effort to avoid making a verdict I claimed pertinent. In order to expose their racketeering crime in self defense I resorted to property damage in an effort to draw the New York Police summoned. These Federal racketeers then placed charges against me for having damaged upon their crime.

With the sublim assistance of fellow bar Association members these racketeers were permitted to give perjured testimony, and I was prevented from introducing pertinent evidence in order that their crime not be revealed.

Due to the fact my background is being reached I was given a suspended sentence and placed on probation. After the Appellate Division refused my appeal I stated I would never report to the Probation Bureau, as I had received an unfair trial.

Apparently my protests are being recognized for I am to receive a retrial and at the calendar for Part III Special Sessions 100 Centre Street N.Y.C. on February 24, 1958.

② No private member of the Bar Association would represent me in my 'unpopular' case of proving that the Comptants actually were racketeers lawyers who were attempting to conceal their criminal acts. Although I have had any doubt of about not being able to represent myself satisfactorily I accepted the assistance of a legal aid attorney appointed by the Comptants solely advise me in Court procedure. The attorney through no fault of his own was not qualified to contest these racketeers and I desire it to be known that I sympathize with him and trust he is not made to be the scapegoat of my unfair trial.

Yesterday I spoke with Mr. Godfrey Schmidt the attorney who represented the racketeered job group in the Transist Union, and as a result had the Transist placed under Ministry. I informed him of my pending trial. And because of his success and interest in establishing in this country in union I reported that he represented me. Unfortunately, he informed me he would be out of town at that time and did not know when he would be back. However he did suggest that I see another member of his firm at my earliest convenience and I intend to do so.

I also informed Mr. Schmidt about my views on having strong enforceable legislation to insure internal democracy and that I felt Mr. James H. Hoffa was being unfairly persecuted and was not being defended by fellow union leaders out of fear that they would be shown to be even more of a monster than he.

As to Mr. Edgar Hoover's latest book I have identified many Communist racketeers in the Bar Association and now Mr. Schmidt claims that there are Fascist racketeers in the labor movement. Can it be that Mr. Hoover is writing another book and Mr. Schmidt has been permitted to look at the proofs? I am not one permitted in labor management relations as are socialists, isolationists, collaborationists, liberals, conservationists, radicals, extremists, managers and Communists etc but the moment one is suspected of being a Capitalist the alarm goes out for a Congressional investigation, in order to restore decency to the land of free enterprise.

The racketeers I mentioned are no more than common crooks.

(3)

and these membership card carrying Bar Association subscribers are entitled to no more favored treatment than anyone else. It appears as though our duly elected representatives enact laws and these subscribers through their mutual protection Association deliberately refuse to carry out the intent of the law as if they were under orders to some foreign or corrupt government.

In January 1958 I was tried by the International Ladies Garment Workers Union for Conduct Unbecoming a Union Member for criticizing the socialist policy of the Liberal Party. The Liberal Party somewhat is officially endorsed by the union and it appears as though I am the only one who is not. As a result I was from the union refused to make available to me the grievance procedure either inside the union or that provided in the Collective Agreement which was directed by my former typing of the union that I could take my grievance anywhere I desired. I took it to the District Labor Relations Board and eventually was taken to the full time was nothing I could do about them.

Since that time I have made numerous attempts to inform President David Dubinsky that there are terrorists in the International Ladies Garment Workers Union who are using the Liberal Party as a front to destroy the union. These terrorists are ~~now~~ entrenched in national headquarters and have refused to permit President Dubinsky to be informed about my complaint against them.

In two or three months a special convention of the International Ladies Garment Workers Union is to be held in Miami Beach. I stated that these terrorists intend to hold a mock trial and judge me in absentia under Article 4 Section 9 of the ILGWU Constitution. I do not have yet to receive a decision in the trial held in January 1958.

I further stated that these terrorists are afraid, and are determined to prevent me from pleading my views not only to the New York members but to the delegates to the Convention who come from far and wide. I fear the Congress of my conviction that my views are not only in

④ The best interest of the entire ILGWU but also in the best interest of the United States, my country which I cherish.

These traitors apparently are resisting my efforts to divorce the Liberal Party from the ILGWU for they do not approve of the separation of the church from the state if I may be permitted to see this as an example. Hiding behind the Liberal Party, these traitors have steadfastly undermined the ILGWU until I was compelled to request that the Am. Chilla Committee investigate whether or not I was paying dues to a labor union or a political party.

As I am determined to fight to the finish to divorce the Liberal Party and leaving fullwell the adverse publicity my retreat at this late date could bring I wonder if you would accept the following proposal.

I believe your Industrial Commission has the authority to demand that I be permitted to appear and represent myself before the Convention in Miami Beach. Also that I be provided with ample funds for transportation, food and lodging.

Because of this Commission I will endeavor to have my trial postponed until Mr. Godfrey Schmidt is available even if it is not until after the Convention of the ILGWU.

If the Convention votes down my view, I assure you I will change my plea to "guilty" and accept the fair and just judgment against me.

If I am not invited to the Convention and Mr. Schmidt refuses to represent me, I am determined to once again represent myself with the assistance of the regional legal aid attorney. My defense will be based and will prove that the honorable government which the New York Herald Tribune so often writes about influenced these racketeer lawyers not to issue an unfair libelous charge against the ILGWU despite the fact that the precedent already had been established in similar evidence in the case of the reforms against Social 136 of the Offending General Marshall, N.Y.

The honorable government apparently is determined that

⑤ a union must not be responsible to its members but should use the funds stolen from the membership to support political views in direct contradiction to the interests of the members and our country.

I firmly adhere to the policy that trade union members do not need a political or educational program to increase their earning capacity or standard of living. As proud trade union members, they are citizens of the community in which they reside and endeavor to retain the economic health and stable growth necessary to retain employment.

In all proposed labor legislation up to now none provides any safeguard for internal democracy or right to work if labor leaders are corrupt. It is incredible that the legislature would approve a system in the United States where a citizen is compelled to join a corrupt union and by challenging the suggestion of a corrupt union official he is made to rely on the good grace of the Secretary of Labor for dispensation.

President David Dubinsky is correct when he said proposed legislation is punitive. Upon closer questioning I am certain he will confirm that the members are the only ones being penalized for joining a union in order to better their standards of living and obtain the highest of collective bargaining. No I.L.W.U. did an infamous political strike in 1920-21 for years and I doubt if any other democratic union could tolerate it.

As for my unpopular views of government I confess I am a firm believer in the revolutionary theories laid down by John Locke an Englishman. If you care to read them you should know for I have known men with phlegmatic tempers who made the Declaration of Independence and the Constitution of the United States a subservient or order then destroyed. No wonder no attorney would represent me, he must be afraid the impossible government will proclaim him guilty by association with me. I trust this won't happen to you.

With but warm regards I am

Sincerely yours,
Harry Bruckner
HARRY BRUCKNER
58-46 2625 STREET
LITTLE NECK, N.Y.

Presidential James L. Hoffa
to International Brotherhood of Transistors
Lansdown Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C.

December 14, 1958

Druckner, Harry

Dear Jimmy,

I feel certain you will find my enclosed letter to David
substantially in keeping and useful for proper operation of the Transistors.

As a friend and fellow trade unionist I must criticize
the way these members of the Transistors were disqualified for running
for office solely because of an oversight by their employers. Surely it
must be obvious that this is improper and should be remedied as
quickly as possible according to your Constitution.

Once again I urge you to hold a Convention immediately or
as soon as possible in an effort to dismiss the Transistors.

I maintain that it would be an excellent event if one or
all three of these disqualified members should win or a written vote to
be elected as delegates to the Convention.

At that time they could present their views in an effort to
convince the Convention they are the best in keeping with the Transistors if their
views are approved, I feel certain they must be in opposition to
the ones they could fill.

With best wishes to you,

Sincerely yours
Harry Druckner
HARRY DRUCKNER
58-46 262 Street
Little Neck, N.Y.

December 17, 1958

President David Dubinsky
% International Ladies Garment Workers Union
1710 Broadway
New York City, N.Y.

Dear President Dubinsky,

With reference to the Charge of Conduct Unbecoming a Union Member for which I was tried by the Executive Board of Local 10 on January 16, 1958, I wish to bring the following to your attention.

To date I have never received a verdict and I protested that the members of said Executive Board are incapable and incompetent. At the time I specifically requested to be referred to a committee on verdicts as I am charged under Article 4, Section 9 of the Constitution of the International Ladies Garment Workers Union.

I stated that involving this matter in a proper and proper manner would completely deny due process under the laws of the United States as set forth in the Constitution. In effect I am on trial for my views as to what is the best interest of the Union and no where in Article 4, Section 9 is there anything to prevent my case. I am being tried in a hostile manner.

I object strongly to this procedure as it is contrary to the First Amendment of the Constitution of the United States as my views are being censored or distorted by an unrepresentative Labor Committee Chairman.

A perfect example of this procedure is my case. Chairman John Rayburn kept on his clock the bad Kennedy-Lee bill for forty days and forty nights. I was scheduled to appear before the House Labor and Education Committee to give my views on this bill but was prevented because of a person's questionable act. The bill was brought up on a closed roll call day of Congress under special rules which was in effect an attempt to "take it or leave it" so no amendments were permitted.

I have repeatedly heard that the speech has rights provided but legislation but is it fair for the Chairman to sidetrack

②

Good legislation by preserving free speech.

Even though I have criticized political policies which I attributed to you I feel that your best judgment will prevail and recognize the merit of this letter.

Under your leadership our Union has achieved outstanding recognition and would not want this swept away due to poor judgment on the part of your advisors.

Which I believe I place me in technical "house arrest" and ineligible to run for union office. Therefore with even the active backing of the membership I am prevented from appearing at the Convention to present my own case or views, and must submit a brief by substitute. Under no circumstances will I permit anyone else to present my views as to what is in the best interest of the union nor shall I have been placed in this. My views are original and may not be properly understood or presented by a substitute.

I trust you will advise me faithfully as to why this situation is permitted in our democratic union.

cc Chief Counsel Robert Kennedy
Smith Brothers Committee
Smith Office Building
Washington, D.C.

Very truly yours,
Harry Bruckner
HARRY BRUCKNER
8-46 262 STREET
LITTLE ROCK, AR.

Local 10 International Ladies
Garment Workers Union

Sen. Jacob Davis, 74
State Office Building
Washington, D.C.

January 21, 1958

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE

Bruckner, Harry

X

X

Dear Sen. Davis,

As a rough and felt member of the International
Ladies Garment Workers Union I wish to present to you my views
on the latest labor bill sponsored by Sen. Jacob Kennedy.

From sketchy newspaper reports I find it acceptable
except for one dangerous and imprudent which Sen. Kennedy
possibly may have overlooked.

A person having a criminal conviction shall be barred from
holding union office. On its face this appears excellent but certainly
Sen. Kennedy must be here in Congress justice with mercy.

A criminal conviction could mean only a suspended sentence
or even the ten days. This man is a human being. If he had
been served for something like crimes such as those to pay people
from union, business or government office for life?

I feel confident this is not Sen. Kennedy's intent but he would
accept an amendment to conviction after trial by jury and denial of
privilege to hold office after no more than three years. Surely you must
agree this is reasonable and just.

As I am very interested in labor legislation I would appreciate
greatly being kept informed on this matter.

With best wishes, Sen.

CC. President David L. Davis
International Ladies Garment
Workers Union

President James L. Hoffa
International Brotherhood of Teamsters
25 Louisiana Avenue N.W.
Washington, D.C.

Harvey Gans
Harry Bruckner
HARRY BRUCKNER
57-46 262 STREET
LITTLE ROCK, N.Y.

P.S. I am almost certain that Mayor
Curley of Boston was recruited
after a criminal conviction.

January 7, 1958
Hon. Robert F. Wagner Mayor City of New York

Office of the Mayor
New York City, N.Y.

Dear Mayor Wagner:

As a resident of New York City I feel it is my duty to advise you that it is your duty to demand that the Corporation Counsel forthwith appeal the decision in the case of the City of New York against Goldie Messing defendant of 127-11 172nd Street Springfield Gardens Queens. The Appellate Division today reversed Mr. Messing's conviction.

The decision rendered in this case has created a situation that is highly explosive and this is of the essence. The situation must be met with determination and pursued relentlessly until law and order once more prevail in our City.

Not only must this decision be appealed, but I also contend that it is your duty to inform Investigation Commission Kaplan to determine if any undue pressures were made on the Appellate Division, because this decision is factitious if not ridiculous.

From today's New York Post, this police brutality case decision decried the defendant "qualified" his resistance to an unlawful arrest and in his court statement again the police officer.

Mr. Messing, attorney, apparently argued successfully that the police must show a warrant to question or apprehend anyone on private property suspected of a crime, even though a complete description is available and hot pursuit is proceeding.

It has always been my feeling that citizens should assist law enforcement and now the Court has ruled that it is a crime for the police to even suspect anyone of a crime.

Furthermore, I don't believe anyone is legally arrested until a sworn complaint is filed and he is booked at the station house. If no sworn complaint is filed after a set number of hours

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE

Brockman, Harry

X

(2)

or days a writ of Habeas Corpus can be obtained and the suspect is released.

Mr. Massey was booked on minor complaint of both arresting or apprehending (the suspect) policemen so that on the arrest or booking he was charged with some 6 assaults.

From the article it appears as though Mr. Massey was justified in refusing to be apprehended as a suspect for, as we have had been obtained by questioning. The Council and the Court upheld this and obviously they would also agree that no warrant be issued to enter a premises until a title search be made and such warrant be made out in the specific name of the owner only. If the owner is a corporation then the premises is a sanctuary along with innumerable other obstructions.

Obviously and rightfully it appears as though the policeman felt they did not need a warrant in order to apprehend a suspect and took the suspect into custody.

Details are not clear now and Mr. Massey apparently feeling that he was apprehended unlawfully, took the law into his own hands, and reportedly assaulted or brutally assaulted the policeman. There was no mention that the police attempted to go through his belongings and I believe that any law abiding citizen, would be pleased to know the police are present, and welcome them as a friend, which they are.

It is further reported due to "brutality" suffered by Mr. Massey at the hands of the police he was committed to a hospital and remained there two weeks.

The reversed decision was immediately hailed by the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP) and the New York Civil Liberties Union as a "telling blow" against the "gross illegal invasion of home and privacy by the police."

Mr. Conrad Schiffman NAACP attorney said, "The decision is heartening recognition by the courts that the constitutional safeguards against illegal arrests and intrusions must be honored even if the citizen has to use force to protect his rights."

Mr. John G. Landry, chairman of the NAACP Legal Defense

(3)

Committee is suing the City for \$100,000 damages in Mr. Drury's behalf, announced that he would immediately amend his pending Quaker Supreme Court ~~suit~~ to include charges of false arrest, malicious prosecution and trespass as a result of the decision.

Mr. Sandilands' demand charge compels me to wonder why he is being so generous. This decision easily is worth millions of dollars for it is impossible to disprove that Mr. Drury was assaulted with a deadly weapon and rather than have the policeman sent to prison, it is felt the City should only be dismissed.

Commissioner Kennedy who feels grievance procedure for his vessels is a usurpation of his royal power most certainly work stand up for his men, because it is against his policy. This Niagara Court has just made it possible for anyone to kill a policeman and claim immunity under this ruling.

The time for action to contest this decision is now, and if Commissioner Kennedy doesn't make a public statement reassuring the public that the Courts have not interfered ^{with} and covered the police from protecting the City of New York he must be replaced immediately.

If Commissioner Kennedy refuses to make this statement, Madame Congress, William Clayton Powell should be asked to suggest a replacement for Mr. Kennedy with the assurance that his preferred selection will issue a statement that he will contest this ruling.

If no one dare challenge the Law of the Court I hereby volunteer as a candidate for Police Commissioner if eligible under the City Charter. I assure you ^{that} I assure this matter reluctantly if for no other reason than to save the City \$100,000 and preserve the dignity, morale, and efficiency of New York's force.

My first act would be to ignore all the hospital records. A complete accounting of every moment Mr. Drury spent under police custody would be ordered. His testimony would be checked and cross checked against these records as well as statements made by anyone else who claims knowledge of the brutality he encountered.

A complete record of every bandage, blood test, temperature

③ reading, skeleton, X-ray as well as any other ~~medical~~ medical or surgical treatment would be thoroughly checked.

In addition a complete report would be required as to the condition of Mr. Murray at the time he arrived at the station house and when and by whose request was he ordered hospitalized and for what reason. A complete report of any visible mark at time of arrival at hospital as well as a complete scrutiny of each doctors report who attended him as well as any who may have attended him since his release from the hospital.

Furthermore a complete accounting of by whom his medical bills are being paid by (at present if any also) as well as who is paying his legal expenses if other than the NAACP and the NYCLC.

Also I would insist on a complete report as to whether he was treated at the hospital for tangible or intangible injuries and whether his stay was for specific treatment which could not have been done on an outpatient basis or was he treated ^{merely} ~~only~~ convalescence.

The court pointed out that if it had not dismissed the original information in the case it would have granted a new trial on the ground that the judgments are against the weight of the credible evidence.

I am not an attorney but this last report on the surface appears peculiar. If the original information in the case were dismissed, at whose instigation were they reinstated in order to apparently undermine the police force and create an explosive situation in our City.

The safety of our City as well as the welfare of each and every policeman is at stake. If there are Comaunist ~~or~~ ^{Communist} ~~or~~ ⁱⁿ ~~our~~ ^{the} City they must be ferreted out and exposed.

A while back I was arrested on the complaint of Federal employees and removed from courteous treatment from each and every member of New York's finest. A tradition is at stake worth fighting for if any of my above statements are upheld.

I was unable to retain counsel because mine was an ~~unpopular~~ ^{unpopular} cause and apparently I did not claim police brutality. I pleaded self defense, proved that the witnesses ~~perjured~~ ^{perjured} themselves

(4) And if I was criminally convicted. Due to lack of funds and failure to retain counsel I am a probation violator for I want to be freed in order to get my kind of conviction against me.

Frankly and openly I do not approve of the tactics or strategy approach of the NAACP or the NYCLU and I feel they are organizations that have a grudge against society.

As for the NAACP I believe it is an unbalanced political party or lobby group interested only in representing colored people solely at the expense of white people with the result that the colored dislike the whites more and the whites dislike the colored more.

Here are my expectations based on all the information I have. And if anyone doesn't like them they are privileged to call me racist if it will make them feel any better.

~~However~~ I wish to make it known that as a racist and a supporter of Linn's tactics I would accept having the NAACP be my counsel; however, I never heard of it improving society by assisting white people. If a white person could be proved so can a colored person and with that it leaves to me or not my views on some of their previous tactics will remain unchanged.

As for the NYCLU I would not let them represent me now if they paid me.

Before I close I wish to state that if the NAACP represents me it can only be as associate counsel for I reserve the right to cross-examine in my own behalf.

The safety of our city is at stake and I have heard that you make all your decisions.

With best wishes, I am,

Sincerely yours
Harry Bracker
HARRY BRUCE KNOX
55-11 262 STREET
LITTLE ROCK, N.Y.

Mr. Hugo Rogers, United States Attorney General
Justice Department
Washington, D.C.

January 5, 1959

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE

Brockman, Harry

Dear Sir:

Shaving caution to the winds, and running the risk of being labeled a "security risk" I feel it is my duty to inform you that something is rotten in Denmark. It appears evident that your Chief Security Officer Mr. J. Edgar Hoover is busy writing another book, so will you please break the news quietly to him that the Communists are in New York.

There are bona fide Communists, all easily recognizable from the description given in Mr. Hoover's latest book. Their influence appears to be so powerful that martial law may have to be invoked.

You must be aware that this morning Miss Marie Jones, columnist for the New York Herald Tribune, was committed to serve a ten day sentence by Federal Judge Sylvestre J. Ryan. Miss Jones is the mother of two infant children ages one and two. It is apparent from the determination shown by these Communists that they will stop at nothing to achieve their aims. And it is very possible that Miss Jones may be resettled indefinitely.

Judge Ryan labeled Miss Jones "one of the" and "she is" however I suspect that unless Mr. Hoover investigates this scandal and you bring in indictments one of the most sweeping Congressional investigations is in order.

Facts

1. Miss Judy Garland brought a \$1,500,000 (approximately) suit for damages against Columbia Broadcasting Company for libelous statements made against her by a high ranking CBS official. These statements appeared in Miss Jones' column, and the official was named.
2. Miss Jones was a witness and not a defendant in this case.
3. Judge Ryan insisted that Miss Jones must name the unnamed official or be in contempt of Court, even though all the evidence on which the

- Case was based was in the column for all to see. I contend that wife Mr X is, is innocent, and Judge Ryan had a prima facie case in which he could have made a decision as to whether damages, if any, were in order.
4. Miss Lane was represented by Mathias Correa Esq whose firm is attorney for the New York Herald Tribune.
 5. The United States Supreme Court refused to entertain Miss Lane's appeal of her contempt of court conviction, and by doing so gave approval that a witness in a trial could be convicted of contempt of court for refusing to answer an irrelevant question, after a prima facie case has established.
 6. Miss Lane's union the New York Newspaper Guild AFL-CIO is a dead union and appears unconcerned with Miss Lane's predicament. This union is its office displays "don't Buy Kollies".
 7. Judge Ryan called Miss Lane a deluged woman for refusing to name Mr X, and then sentenced her, for not purging her herself in his eyes.
 8. Surely Judge Ryan must be sufficiently competent to realize that Mr X, Mr Y, or Mr Z may all deny that they are the unnamed official and all being suit against Miss Lane for slander.
 9. It is an established labor management practice that an employee can never be ^{primarily} responsible for the errors of management, and yet today Miss Lane is in fact an innocent victim of a criminal conspiracy which is self evident.

Questions

1. Is Mr. Correa her attorney "supposedly" deliberately placing Miss Lane in this situation in order to serve some other purpose?
2. Did Judge Ryan deliberately place Miss Lane in this situation in order to serve some other purpose?
3. Did the Supreme Court refuse to hear her appeal out of fear of thwarting this "apparent" conspiracy?
4. Did her union deliberately place Miss Lane in this situation in order to serve some other purpose?

③

Miss Lane is jail, faced with possible re-arresting, is reported to have said that she hopes her situation may bring about legislation which will protect a reporter from divulging a source of information.

My woman's intuition prevents me from accepting this statement as being a true indication of her intent, for her attorney Mr. Conner has been much to cry in this matter, and I can prove that he has deliberately refused to take information from me which would compel the Supreme Court to reverse itself and free Miss Lane. It is my opinion that Mr. Conner, having knowledge of my information from Miss Lane, informed her that he was absolutely opposed to using it. Also if she thought him incompetent she could release him and in if she publicly divulged any of this conversation he would consider it a violation of the confidential nature between an attorney and a client. Obviously he would deny it, and maintain that he had advised her to use this defense all the while, and now he was professionally cleared of any incompetence.

What Judge Ryan has in mind, we can only surmise.

Now the Newspaper Guild and Mr. Conner in their appeals to the Supreme Court both claimed privileged status for Miss Lane under the First Amendment (Free Press).

As Attorney General it is your duty to investigate these subversive tendencies and promote to the fullest extent of the law, for the action of the Supreme Court also lays it open to some suspicion.

The Supreme Court has in its possession a brief submitted to it as a friend of the Court which absolutely destroys the integrity of Court citation against Miss Lane, and it appears it deliberately permitted her to go to jail rather than define the exact limitation of the First Amendment.

Just imagine the consequences to our democratic free society if the press was not liable for libel or slander. The same applies to speech and religion.

(P)

If Miss Jones refuses, to the incompetency of her attorney to purge herself after the 12 days, would it be fair to then release her and dismiss this civil action which is predicated upon the protection granted by the State of New York to any slandered by an abuse of the First Amendment.

I maintain that Mr. Conner is aware that I desire to inform him that Miss Jones' proper defense would be to plead the Fifth Amendment which protects the against possible self-incrimination. Why he refuses to contact me, and use my information (he knows where I live and my telephone number) is peculiar, to say the least.

Miss Jones hardly could be called a Communist or a racketeer for using a valid Constitutional defense. Just that the popular opinion stated reason for expelling her from Yippa and the Transition from the AFL-CIO.

Even now in an effort to sanctify the freedom of the press, supposedly I read that Miss Garland had her due diligence being made to appear as the only one responsible for Miss Jones' predicament.

I maintain that it is your duty to ferret out and prosecute those subversives who by deceit are trying to destroy and undermine our free society. These actions are definitely Communist, and are described in the Hoover's book as being so.

Also I feel it is your duty to investigate how the Communists permit me to violate probation, after a criminal trial and conviction. They know I was framed, for pleading self defense, and I maintain only a Federal investigation by your department is in order. I was arrested on the complaint of Federal employees.

I trust I shall hear from you shortly.
With best wishes, I am

Very truly yours,
Sam Brackman
HARRY BECKER
54-56 262 STREET
LITTLE NECK, N.Y.

January 3, 1939

Editor
New York Times
Times Square
New York

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE

Bruckner, Harry

X
X

Dear Sir:

Your editorial today and conclusions that Mr. James R. Hoffa is engaging in adventures and public relations is ~~amusing~~ amusing as it appears to be a deliberate distortion and twisted evaluation of the facts.

(1) No evaluation of the merit of the Transportation Employees was made.
(2) Evidence from the AFL-CIO has not shown the competence the members of the Executive Board in Mr. Hoffa.

(3) The monitors are the creation of hysteria deliberately created by a group of Communists in an apparent attempt to divide and crush the Trade Union Department of the AFL-CIO. This is self evident.

(4) Mr. Hoffa's encounter with New York City Police Commissioner Kennedy at the moment is a standoff with the advantage to the Teamsters.

I contend that now is the most opportune time to voluntarily organize New York's taxicab drivers. These drivers are private employees and I sincerely doubt if Commissioner Kennedy, the Hatch Bureau or the monitors would be so foolish as to attempt to interfere.

These drivers, licensed by the Hatch Bureau a part of the Police Department have a long standing reputation for being arbitrarily disciplined by the Hatch Bureau on unsubstantiated charges despite the fact their Employer considers them reliable efficient employees.

Surely this industry and licensing practice was never created or intended that labor management relations be regulated or enforced by the Police Department.

I doubt very much if the Hatch Bureau can threaten any driver with loss of his license if he desires to be represented at the Hatch Bureau hearings by his Teamster representative. Even the Bar Association will agree that the Hatch Bureau is not a Court therefore no lawyer is required.

Even the City Council and for that matter you yourself undoubtedly will agree that the City Charter or any other statutes will not be violated if private employees bring their collective bargaining representation to defend themselves against summary back Bureau actions.

It is inevitable that the function of the Back Bureau be modified to the best interest of these drivers. Single heavy actions rightly belong to the employer and traffic violations in Traffic Court.

I see no reason why our fine Mayor, Mayor, Commissioner Kennedy or any group object to the Transit Company organizing the taxicab drivers. The net result will be a more efficient Police Department and the restoration of labor management relations in the taxicab industry to its proper position.

Mr. Hoffa could never have withstood the combined efforts of the Trade Union Department workers and the Members of the Transit were not beyond reproach.

As for Secretary of Labor Mitchell I wonder if he will state that Richard Goodwin was "blackballed" if he is requested to change his attitude toward the Transit or sabotage his charges. Mr. Mitchell supposedly represents the Eisenhower administration policy and so far I have never seen his remarks prefaced that they were only his own personal opinions.

In conclusion I should like to remind you that "He who lives in a glass house should not throw stones."

Sincerely Yours,
Harry Bruckner
HARRY BRUCKNER
58-46 262 STREET
LITTLE ROCK, N.Y.

January 4, 1958

Representative Sam Rayburn
House Office Building
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:

Despite contrary criticism, which I have read, I wish to commend your excellent idea in combining three overlapping committees into the House Internal Security Committee. This will eliminate much duplication and waste and promote efficiency and at the same time economy.

I have read that there is a strong difference of opinion between Rep. Walter and Rep. Celler, the mind of which I care to dissociate myself completely. The best interest of our country will be served with both men on the same committee and any differences they may have could be resolved without overburdening the Rules Committee with duplicate legislation. Therefore no amendment to the Rules Committee is necessary.

As for Rep. Walter, I feel he is very able and convictions however misinformed. His recent statement about communists and racketeers in the Transportation Conference is a perfect example. I sincerely doubt that there are any communists or racketeers which deem the Transportation Conference worthy of consideration but I strongly suspect that these saboteurs are determined to destroy the Trade Union Department H.R. 111-636 with propaganda and deceit which they are masters of.

Since January 1958 I have attempted to have my views of labor-management relations before the Senate Committee led by Committee of Rep. Ralph G. Swain. I was scheduled to appear before the House Labor and Education Committee if hearings were were held. The Kennedy does bill which I strongly disapproved of placed almost all labor relations under the supervision of the Secretary of Labor. I feel and believe the House concurred that this bill did absolutely nothing for the rank

② and file union members, or power secondary boycotts and nationwide strikes which is the chief strategy of the Industrial Union Department AFL-CIO. Certainly the state should have some say as to what they consider proper invasion of their sovereignty by non-profit business organization which operates from another state can cause industrial strife, even with a friendly Secretary of Labor permission.

I maintain that the excessive powers of labor unions must be brought under proper control. The failure to consider or enact proper union control legislation will soon become a national disgrace and a source of much embarrassment.

As my firm belief that Communists and political racketeers have infiltrated the trade union movement in an effort to destroy it. These people are using the money illegally stolen from the hard and field to finance their socialist dreams via political action. They desire no labor legislation to eliminate any of the supposed abuses threatened by the Rankin Committee.

The Trade Union Department AFL-CIO, is beginning to realize that the entire cooperation given to the Rankin Committee by Sen. Nease was to assist Walter Reuther of the Industrial Union Department to destroy it by deceit. Furthermore I would not be surprised if the Trade Union Department voted to reinstate Sen. Nease and the Senators despite objections of Sen. Nease and the majority. I will be interesting to see whether Secretary Mitchell will resign if the troops and tanks are released to prevent the Senators and the Nease from returning to the Trade Union Department AFL-CIO.

The Trade Union Department will accept immediately consideration of some labor management legislation. It believes in free enterprise and is willing to agree to strict & equal regulation of its internal affairs as that which pertains to management. However its internal affairs are the same as those of any membership organization and rightfully so.

No ^{above} union control legislation has been considered by the House

③

excluding the ridiculous Pension bill created last session.

There is no reason for the House to wait for recommendations from the Senate and I believe it would be proper for Rep. Walter to conduct an investigation into illegal expenditure of money and find out what members may in order to influence Congress to ~~invest~~ ~~legislation~~ not enact legislation vital to the Internal Security of our country.

If necessary I believe you are empowered to request a roll call vote in order to have this matter taken up by Rep. Walter's committee.

Labor legislation as envisaged by the Trade Union Administrators is not social legislation but a sincere effort to resolve any objection to their methods of operation in the light of free enterprise and not socialism.

With best wishes, I am,

Sincerely yours,
Harry Bruckner
HARRY BRUCKNER
54-46 262 STREET
LITTLE ROCK, AR.

ALFRED S. GOLDBERG
DAVID PREVIANT
SAUL COOPER
ALBERT J. GOLDBERG
DAVID L. DELMER
HUGH HAFER
FRANCIS S. SWETLIK, JR.

LAW OFFICES OF
PADWAY, GOLDBERG & PREVIANT
511 WARNER THEATRE BUILDING
MILWAUKEE 3, WISCONSIN

BROADWAY 1-4500

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE
Bruckner, Harry
JOS. A. PADWAY
1912-1947
A. S. GOLDBERG
1907-1947

December 30, 1958

Mr. James R. Boffa,
President
International Brotherhood of
Teamsters, Chauffeurs,
Warehousemen and Helpers
of America
25 Louisiana Avenue, N.W.
Washington 1, D.C.

Dear President Hoffa:

In re: HARRY BRUCKNER

I have read the copies of letters which Harry Bruckner has written to the District Attorney for New York County and to the Appellate Division. The contents of the letters do not fully indicate the nature of his problem, but apparently he was convicted of property damage which he did not deny, and which he claimed resulted from an act of self-defense.

In any event, I fail to see anything in the letters which would require that the International Union take an active interest in this matter.

I am returning the material herewith.

Regards,

PADWAY, GOLDBERG & PREVIANT

DP:ih

By

David Previant
DAVID PREVIANT

Enclosures:



AIR MAIL

Office of the General President

To: Dave Previatt

From: James R. Hoffa

December 10, 1958

DATE

RE: Correspondence from Harry Truckner, Little Neck, N.Y.

Dave, would you care to comment on this?

James R. Hoffa
James R. Hoffa

JRH/ald
JK
Achtet

Office of the General President

Bruckner, Harry

To: Dave Previant

December 10, 1958
DATE

From: James R. Hoffa

RE: Correspondence from Harry Bruckner, Little Neck, N.Y.

Dave, would you care to comment on this?

James R. Hoffa

JRH/alb
JK
Atchmt

COPY

December 2, 1958

Hon. Frank S. Hogan, District Attorney New York County
155 Leonard Street
New York City, N. Y.

People of the State of New York
against
Harry Bruckner, Defendant

Dear Sir:

Please be advised that I have spoken with Mr. John B. Creegan, Law Assistant of the Appellate Division. He informed me yesterday that if I refused to appeal as a poor person which I am not, my petition for appeal is improper and cannot be honored.

Your file will show correspondence from me stating that it is apparent to me some of your assistants do not care to work and their jobs shall be given to unemployed attorneys who are willing to serve the public. If the State Crime Commission should look into this necessity may discover your staff more suited for Carnegie Hall and Tammany Hall can't be blamed for this.

Surely as a member of the Bar Association, I am certain you must understand that it is impossible for me to obtain counsel and have him commit a cardinal sin of professional ethics. That is to prove the complainant and his fellow employees all attorneys are unethical. Mr. Freeman's testimony is in direct contradiction to his sworn complaint of disorderly conduct against me in the 13th Precinct. As for Mr. McLeod, Regional Director of the National Labor Relations Board I feel certain that Senator Kennedy of the Rackets Committee was referring to this type of corrupt labor attorney.

Furthermore when Judge Kaplans asked me whether there was any legal basis why I should not be sentenced I protested that the witnesses had committed perjury and your assistant protested that I should be censored by the Court for making such statements. Upon this I withdrew the motion not wishing to embarrass the Court.

As I never considered my verdict proper or even justified, I see no logical reason why I should continue to report to the Probation Bureau now that my appeal has been blocked and your office apparently is determined not to reopen my case. I do not feel I am defying a Court order but as challenging the immunity granted to an attorney to perjure himself in order to justify his professional incompetence. Reporting to the Probation Bureau can only indicate that I approve of the verdict and sentence.

I have noticed that the Mayor and Governor-elect are having difficulty raising funds to balance their budgets. Wishing to spare the taxpayers the enormous burden of financing a manhunt for me I will turn myself in whenever requested in order to preserve the illusion that George Meany, Walter Reuther and their accomodating prems have created. That is that only in labor and management are there "racketeers" and that obviously is why they so willingly became a part of the movement to "cleanse" labor management relations even before a conviction pertaining to labor management relations was obtained.

The trade or craft union movement has a life long history as being classified as conservative along with all the professional associations. Now we have "liberal" industrial unions led by despots if not actual criminals, who represent their members through their controlled Congressmen who are supplied with funds stolen from the membership. Like the Chinese they preach full employment and feel that husband and wife each earning \$1.00 per hour each as unskilled workers serve their "purpose" better than a craftsman whose productivity is far superior and who does not approve of their socialistic ideology.

As for improving the industrial climate in New York City it will be interesting to see whether your office dares to question our City's free press whether their drawn out Crusade against unproven racketeering on our waterfront and in the International Association of Longshoremen was justified or were they paid propagandists of the AFL-CIO political education group in an effort to divert shipping elsewhere.

Trade or craft unions are community organizations. They strive to see that local industry is healthy. Industrial unions as such are not community organizations and readily exploit the members and the community in order to achieve their socialistic goals. Like locusts these "liberals" encourage the creation of alums in order to house their migratory workers and they abandon them on the community as they push on to new frontiers.

Very truly yours,

Harry Bruckner

58-46 262 Street
Little Neck, New York

(9)

Hon. Frank S. Hogan, District Attorney New York County
155 Leonard Street
N.Y.C. N.Y.

December 2, 1958

People of the State of New York
vs.
Harry Buchman, Respondent

Dear Sir:

Please be advised that I have spoken with Mr. John B. Conway, the Assistant of the Appellate Division. He informed me yesterday that if I refused to appeal as a poor person, which I am not, my petition for appeal is improper and can not be done.

Your file with a law correspondence from me stating that it is apparent to me some of your assistants do not care to work and their jobs should be given to unemployed attorneys who are willing to serve the public. If the State Bar Commission should look into this matter they may choose your staff more suited for George Hall and many other excellent lawyers for the

Bar. As a member of the Bar Association I am certain you must understand that it is impossible for me to obtain Counsel and have been named a cardinal sin of professional ethics. That is to prove that complainant and his fellow employees all attorneys are unethical. Mr. Freeman's testimony is in direct contradiction to his sworn complaint of unethical conduct against me in the 13th District. As for the Local Regional Director of the National Labor Relations Board, I feel certain that Senator Kennedy of the Senate Committee was referring to this type of corrupt labor attorney.

Furthermore when Judge Kaplan ~~asked~~ asked me whether there was any legal basis why I should not be sentenced I pointed out that the written law committed perjury and your Assistant protested that I should be compensated by the Court for making such statements. Upon this I withdrew the motion not wishing to embarrass the Court.

As I never considered my verdict proper or even justified I see no logical reason why I should continue to report to the Probation Bureau now that my appeal has been blocked and your office apparently is determined not to reopen my case. I do not feel I am defying a Court order but am challenging the immunity granted to an attorney to perjure himself in order to justify his professional incompetence. Reporting to the

② Probation Bureau can only indicate that I approve of the verdict and sentence.

I have noticed that the Mayor and Governor are having difficulty raising funds to balance their budgets. Wishing to spare the taxpayers of the enormous burden of financing a scandal for me, I will turn myself in whenever requested in order to preserve the illusion that George Meany, Walter Reuther and the accommodating press have created. That is that only in labor and management are there racketeers and that obviously why they so willingly became a part of the movement to "cleanse" labor management relations even before a conviction pertaining to labor management relations was obtained.

The trade or craft union movement has a life long history of being classified as conservative along with all the professional associations. Now we have liberal industrial unions led by despots if not actual criminals, who represent their members through their controlled Congressmen who are supplied with funds stolen from the membership. Like the Chinese they preach full employment and feel that despots and wife each earning \$1.00 per hour each as unskilled workers serve their purpose "better" than a craftsman whose productivity is far superior and who does not approve of their socialist ideology.

As for improving the industrial climate in New York City it will be interesting to see whether your office dares to question and City Hall press whether their strong out campaign against unproven racketeering in our waterfront and the International Brotherhood of Longshoremen was justified or were they paid propagandists of the AFL-CIO political education groups in an effort to divert shipping elsewhere.

Trade or craft unions are community organizations. It is time to see that local industry's healthy industrial unions as such are not community organizations and readily exploit the members and the community in order to achieve their socialist goals. Like locusts they "liberals" encourage the creation of slums in order to house their migrating workers and then abandon them as the communities they push on to new frontiers.

58-46 262 STREET
LITTLE ROCK, N.Y.

Very truly yours,
Alfred Bruckner
ALFRED BRUCKNER

C O P Y

November 26, 1958

Appellate Division of the Supreme Court
First Department Clerks Office
27 Madison Avenue
New York 10, New York

Attention: Mr. John B. Creegan Law Assistant

Dear Sir:

With reference to your letter to me dated November 25, 1958 it appears you are uncertain as to my reason for appeal. Undoubtedly this is due to the fact my letter of information dated November 5, 1958 was forwarded to you before my letter of appeal dated October 30, 1958. The letter of October 30, 1958 complies with the procedures of your Court and is self explanatory.

I sincerely regret the position the District Attorney and the Court of Special Sessions took in my trial and undoubtedly they have their reasons for feeling they acted properly. However I insist that justice was not served when they stood by silently in the face of perjury by Mr. Freeman in order to obtain a conviction. In addition I was prevented from cross-examining Mr. Ivan McLeod Regional Director of the National Labor Relations Board on the grounds that my questions had no bearing on the Charge Property Damage. In the U. S. I assume that the law of the land still is in effect and that the defendant is presumed innocent until proven guilty and that is why I question the valued judgement of the Court of Special Sessions and the District Attorney when they allowed themselves to be used against an individual whose interest they are supposed to protect as well as the complainants.

As the record of my "supposedly fair" trial might be acclaimed the law of the land or the law of the State I categorically refuse to refinance my mortgage to obtain the funds to have additional copies of this infamous event printed.

I was convicted of property damage despite the fact the Court and District Attorney were aware and appeared unconcerned that the act of property damage was resorted to in self defense in an effort to expose the crime the "privileged" complainants fellow employees committed against the defendant.

I contend that I was denied due process even though I had a "Supposedly fair" trial when I was denied by the District Attorney the right to a jury trial. The Court explained during my trial in effect that the charge prohibited them from weighing extenuating circumstances and were only concerned whether I did or did not damage property.

It is my firm belief that the complainant does not have the courage of his convictions despite his privileged status and would not press his complaint if he were aware he would have to submit to cross examination where extenuating circumstances would be considered. "Perhaps" this is why I was arraigned for malicious mischief which can require a jury trial and this was reduced to property damage which does not require a jury trial.

I contend the Appellate Division has no other choice then to set aside my conviction and order that a charge requiring a jury trial be reinstated. Otherwise it will uphold that the law of the land no longer permits an individual to defend his family, property and/or beliefs from unlawful?. And that said individual must be convicted for daring to expose an unlawful and/or criminal denial of his right as guaranteed in the Bill of Rights and the Constitution of the United States.

I am determined to meet this issue head on and will not rest until

- 2 -

Justice once more prevails and my name cleared. If the complaintant and Mr. McLeod refuse to appear for a jury trial I declare that the Ethical Practice Committee of the Bar Association should be the place where their punishment is ? out for bringing the BAR Association into desrepute. These attorneys in an effort to conceal their and their fellow employees criminal acts had arrested an individual not a member of the Association and now may feel unqualified to aatch their professional ability against a person practicing law without a license. Before a jury trial the Const. could not be so lenient with them after I prove the crime I allege was committed against me.

Once again I declare I shall not pay for printing of the record of my trial despite the fact I have more than 300 assets in equity. The "privileged" complaintant could have withdrawn their charge at any time permitting me to make a motion for a complete dismissal and now they have gotten themselves, myself and responsible organized society into this mess because I believe they feel society is obligated to protect them on the grounds that my political crime of resisting unlawful Federal employee encroachments waives the protection guaranteed to me in the U.S. Const.

Furthermore if my appeal applicants is rejected on any grounds including failure to pay for printing of the record I shall refuse to report to my probation officer for I will nto support a government which meets out justice on the basis of political crimes.

Our supposed free press has been aware of my arraignment and conviction and for reasons known to themselves they gave tacit approvals in the case of Peter Zerga. A jury freed Peter Zerga and now they are apparently determined this error will not be repeated. However they provide ample apace to defend and promote the ? of worn out capitalists and labor leaders who feel that their salvation lies with scraping the free enterprise system in order to protect the wealth and position they acquire.

I believe in capitalism and as proud of being a part of the trade union movement, however, I resent that it appears as though a group of weaklings have joined forces to destroy capitalism and the trade union movement simultaneously in an effort to deprive society of their benefits. Even Congress appears afraid to write a good labor-management law.

The January 6, 1959 calendar date is most satisfactory and I trust you will confirm this in further correspondence. At such time I shall make motions based on the contents of this letter and any other matter the Court deems pertinent.

I desire to debate with Walter Reuther Dubinsky is protecting him by refusing to recognize me and may even have assisted to put me in my present inconvenience.
Keep your chin up!"

Very truly yours,

Harry Bruckner
Attorney for defendant
58-46 26th St.
Little Neck, N.Y.

Local 10
Ladies Garment Workers Union

Copy

November 26, 1958

Appellate Division of the Supreme Court
First Department Clerk's Office
27 Madison Avenue
New York 10, N.Y.

People of the State of New York
Against
Harry Bruckner
Defendant

Attention Mr. John B. Cregar, Law Assistant
Dear Sir:

With reference to your letter to me dated November 25, 1958 it appears you are uncertain as to my reason for appeal. Undoubtedly this is due to the fact my letter of appeal to the date of November 5, 1958 was forwarded to you before my letter of appeal dated October 30, 1958. The letter of October 30, 1958 complies with the procedures of your Court and is self explanatory.

I sincerely regret the position the District Attorney and the Court of Special Sessions took in my trial and undoubtedly they have their reasons for failing they acted properly. I was dissatisfied that justice was not served when they stood by silently in the face of perjury by Mr. Freeman in order to obtain a conviction. In addition I was prevented from cross-examining Mr. John Raymond Regional Director of the Federal Rehabilitation Board in the grounds my question had no bearing on the Charge. Perjury done in the United States I assume that the law of the land is that in effect is that the defendant is presumed innocent until proven guilty and that is why I question the wisdom judgment of the Court of Special Sessions and the District Attorney when they allowed themselves to be used against the individual whose interest they are supposed to protect as well as the Commonwealth.

As the record of my supposed fair trial ought to be authentic the law of the land is the law of the State. I am respectfully requesting my attorney to obtain the funds to have additional copies of this document made printed.

I was convicted of property damage despite the fact the Court and District Attorney were aware and appeared unconcerned that the act of property damage was resorted to in self defense in an effort to expose the crime.

①. My privileged Complainant fellow employees cannot testify against the defendant.
I contend that I was denied due process even though I had a
"supposedly fair trial" as I was denied by the District Attorney the right
to a jury trial. The Court explained during my trial in effect that the
Charge prohibited them from weighing extenuating circumstances and was only
concerned with whether I did or did not damage property.

It is my firm belief that the Complainant does not have the
courage of his conviction despite his privileged status and would not pursue
Complaint if he were aware he would have to submit to cross examination where
extenuating circumstances would be considered. Perhaps this is why I was
arraigned for malicious mischief which can require a jury trial and this
was reduced to property damage which does not require a jury trial.

I contend the Appellate Division has no other choice than to set
aside my conviction and order that Charge requiring a jury trial be ~~reinstated~~
reinstated. Otherwise it will uphold that the law of the land no longer
permits an individual to defend his family, property and his beliefs from
unlawful encroachment. And that said individual must be convicted
for daring to oppose an unlawful and/or criminal denial of his rights as
guaranteed in the Bill of Rights and the Constitution of the United States.

I am determined to meet this issue head on and will not
rest until justice once more prevails and my name cleared. If the
Complainant and his counsel refuse to appear for a jury trial I declare
that the Ethical Practices Committee of the Bar Association should be the
place where they preside and is not fit for bringing the Bar Association
into disrepute. These attorneys in an effort to conceal their and their
fellow employees criminal acts, has arrested an individual not a member
of the Bar Association and now may feel uncomfortable to watch their
professional ~~responsibility~~ ^{liability} against a person practicing law without a license.
Before a jury trial the Court could not be so lenient with them after I prove
the crime I allege was committed against me.

Once again I declare I shall not pay for printing of the record
of my trial despite the fact I have more than \$500 assets in equity.

③ The "prohibited" Complainant could have withdrawn the Charge at any time permitting me to make a motion for a complete dismissal and now they have gotten themselves, myself and responsible society into this mess. I believe they feel society is obligated to protect them on the grounds that my political crime of resisting unlawful Federal employee encroachments upon the protection guaranteed them in the United States Constitution. Furthermore if my appeal application is rejected on any grounds including failure to pay for printing of the record I shall refuse to report to my protection officer for I will not support a government which metes out justice on the basis of political crime.

Now suppose the press has been aware of my arraignment and conviction and for reasons known to themselves they have tried to suppress in the case of Peter J. J. A. I say Peter J. J. A. and now they are apparently determined this error will not be repeated. However they provide ample space to defend and promote the views of our out Capitalists and labor leaders who feel that their salvation lies with scrapping the free enterprise system in order to protect the wealth and position they acquire.

I believe in Capitalism and am proud of being a part of the trade union movement. However I want that it appears as though a group of weaklings have joined forces to destroy Capitalism and the trade union movement simultaneously in an effort to deprive society of their benefits. Even Congress appears afraid to write a good labor management law.

The January 6, 1958 Calendar date is most satisfactory and I trust you will confirm this in further correspondence. At such time I shall make motions based on the contents of this letter and any other matter the Court deems pertinent.

I DESIRE TO DEBATE WITH WALTER RUTHERFORD
DUBINSKY IS PROTECTING HIM BY
REFUSING TO RELEND/120 MB AND
MAY EVEN HAVE ASSISTED TO PUT ME
IN MY PRESENT INCONVENIENCE.
KEEP YOUR CHIN UP!!

Very truly yours,
Harry Bruckner
Harry BRUCKNER
Attorney for defendant
57-46 26th St
Little Neck, N.Y.
Local 10
Ladies Bureau
Workmen Union

File

December 27, 1958

President James R. Hoffa
% International Brotherhood of Teamsters
25 Louisiana Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C.

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE

James R. Hoffa
X
X

Dear Jimmy:

As your friend and follower, please accept my sincerest
congratulations upon having Attorney Ernest Rogers present a new series
of charges against you and the Teamsters. I just heard via radio that
Representative Walters in effect called you, your followers, and those who
feel the Transportation Conference worth considering racketeers and Communists.
I feel he was referring to me for my views on the ^{favorable} Transportation Conference
were published in the New York World Telegram and Sun.

I wasn't being called a racketeer or a Communist and I contend
that Rep. Walters was not covered by his Congressional immunity when he
made those slanderous remarks about you and I as well as others on a good.

It appears as though Rep. Walters has eaten some of the after-
birth of the Castiglion word and desires now to be witchdoctor #1
in our country.

Representative Walters must be controlled even more determined
than Mr. Rogers for witchdoctors destroy the will to resist solely because
of fear and not reason. Because of this I strongly believe in doing so
if I had the means to bring a suit for damages against Rep. Walters; however,
in either event it would be to our mutual best interest if we were co-defendants.

After all I am a probation violator, because I refuse to accept
as fair my conviction due to the fact federal bureaucracy maintains I must
have a criminal conviction for apparently using force and violence to overthrow
the government.

I feel the issue of Walter H. Henson, I wonder why he approved of
Walter Henson's conviction must be settled once and for all. Before
we wake up some day and find the United States Constitution declared
only a set of papers.

② I contend that Rep. Walters does not go to sleep, or awake cloaked in his Congressional immunity, therefore he cannot claim enough Congressional immunity. I doubt if he could produce one other Congressman who would dispute this point. Therefore as the witchdoctor, he wants to be, in order to avoid libel and slander suits he will, out of necessity, challenge these suits by invoking his Congressional immunity.

It appears that out of fear for Rep. Walters, Attorney General Rogers filed such ridiculous and outlandish charges against you. These charges are so unfounded I sincerely doubt that Mr. Rogers can even establish a prima facie case, and he is the United States Attorney General.

Undoubtedly Mr. Rogers was guaranteed full cooperation by Rep. Walters and assured of the most latest list of leftists, racketeers and communists all supplied by most trusted secret informers. Perhaps even the eminent and highly trusted Mr. Louis Budenz will once again repeat his memory.

I believe we both can be charged under the Smith Act, because of Rep. Walters' remarks and I sincerely would not like to believe that Mr. Rogers deliberately brought charges against you in order to embarrass the entire trade union movement and President Eisenhower, whose opponent he is, on the eve of the State of the Union address.

A settled case before this is a fight to the finish. I reserve the right to be my own defense attorney. For complete details about the strategy to use against Mr. Rogers and Rep. Walters I can be reached at my home address.

A Courtroom is not a persecuting committee hearing, all after birth. The Carthagenes soon will learn. The Russians were told what about the Carthagenes as what Heron can occur, if they find out we found another who felt the U.S. Constitution and Amendments was just a scrap of paper.

With best wishes, Sam,

Your friend,
Harry Bruckner
HARRY BRUCKNER
58-46 212 STREET
LITTLE NECK, N.Y.

Chief Counsel Robert Kennedy
Senate Select Committee
Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C.

December 20, 1958

REPRESENTATIVE FILE
Druckman, Harry

Dear Mr. Kennedy:

On or about February 14, 1958 you first acknowledged receipt of my complaint against the International Ladies Garment Workers Union, to determine whether they were due to a labor union or political party.

The complaint in effect is based on an affidavit of the first defendant (the official) and that Bradley Spohn with Mr. Toney, who is an associate of the first defendant, 63 West Street, N.Y. 2, in an effort to return the Union. You must be aware that the Union is the attorney who represented Miss Toney, newspaper columnist for the New York Herald Tribune. The defense and appeal to the U.S. Supreme Court was based on the first defendant (the official).

The Supreme Court refused to take on the case and Miss Toney is faced with a jail sentence for following the advice of her counsel by pleading the first defendant instead of the first defendant. Would you not be only a witness, not the defendant.

My evidence and testimony would be most helpful to Miss Toney who is a well known union member, as an I, had for reason but known to the Union. He has failed to contact me. I was suggested to the Union that the Union could file a suit against my union for violation of the second defendant.

By the Union's apparent refusal to use my evidence and testimony one can only conclude it is determined to abandon Miss Toney who supports his client. This is an unethical practice of the Union Association and evidently the Union is concerned.

Further if his concern is due to the fact he may be coming from the publisher, as he is the attorney for the New York Herald Tribune. This leads to the question as to whether he is deliberately manipulating his own client, Miss Toney, in order to protect his benefactor, the publisher, whom I maintain and evidently the Union Council, is responsible for the material

published, and not day employee. Miss Lewis' union filed a supporting
petition with the Supreme Court concurring with Mr. Corcoran on the first Monday.

Several months ago Santa Fe, N. M. Rackets Committee strongly rebuked Corry, Patton, and the Corras action. Certainly appear suspicious.

I was sorry and go to jail, because of the serious exposed de-
liberate misconduct, is supposed to be Miss Jones attorney, the disgraced
labor management collision certainly must receive top priority by your
Committee.

Surely you will be obligated to suspend your opinions until the
against Mr. Hoffa and the Association for using labels reflecting inaccuracies influenced
by the Association, and not give as an excuse that Mr. Corcoran is a
member of the Association, and not subject to investigation, or the labels racket.

If I must record you later with servants working under the free enterprise system developed in our country from a wilderness to the leading nation in the world. Your Committee as such, in two years has not even presented one bill of legislation but now appears to be more quarantined than ever before. The "bad" Kennedy-Jones bill was a product of the Senate to take out Public Welfare Committee and maybe that's why Senate The Clerks or Senate to Chamber did not just their support. Because of this I must specifically ask whether you are representing the One Association, which appears to have given approval that "Coca-Cola" be made a criminal rather than a civil offense. Common handbags now regulated by the District Attorney and Attorney General's doubt because of the great length of their required before a case is even heard.

Further I wonder if you no longer will invest in the Highways
Miss Samuel, State of the International Laborers Union in Pennsylvania
and for other States. You promised assistance to Mrs. David Slabine by and my
"wider intention" that the expression I used when talking to you in Jersey in
Washington D.C. indicates you will not assist Mrs. Slabine, for you will
confirm my original complaint that the Liberal Party was conspiring in
Pennsylvania with the work of the New York garment workers.

I no longer blame Mrs. Lubiensky for the mess for even

③.

Now Long Henry doesn't understand whether Walter Reuther wants
three or four national political parties. I saw no mention in the New York
Times about a fourth party so probably Mr. Reuther knows more than
anyone else. Perhaps this is the purpose of the Industrial Union of Marine and
Aircraft Workers having a national conference.

Two national political parties are just right, unless
someone has an ulterior motive.

I trust I shall hear from you shortly. Sincerely,
Harry Bruckner

HARRY BRUCKNER
58-46 282 STREET
LITTLE NECK, N.Y.

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE

County of New York
 State of New York
 George Henry
 Defendant
 vs.
 People of the State of New York
 Plaintiff
 THE WONT KEEP FOREVER!

I, George Henry, being duly sworn, depose and say that I am the author of the following declaration.

I declare that the events leading up to my arrest and subsequent conviction are the direct result of the repression of labor law, which permits my union officials and the collection bargaining agent representative to refuse to process workers complaints with immunity from prosecution.

Corporation America in violation of New York State therefore in effect a hostile act. As has permitted by the legislature in the early part of this year I brought a complaint to District Attorney to prosecute that my union was being run by political racketeers. Undoubtedly, as the time was taken due to the fact that the United States Labor Union had already had determined in court that political activity and racketeering were fully under the union. Unquestioned program actually the District Attorney's office was effectively neutralized.

Due to District Attorney's failure to see the Labor Union Relation Board accepted my complaint and the transcript of my trial in fact shows the union had no power. Therefore was accorded the fact the union was the party to seek any of the for political performance of the Labor Union Committee.

I conclude that the acts of the employees of the National Labor Relation Board are not the issue and should not have any bearing upon my petition for appeal, because of the charges which denied to me a fair trial. Mr. Henry testified that he personally endangered by my acts and I can very easily dispose this.

At this point I declare that I have made numerous attempts to retain counsel. Undoubtedly because my jurisdiction is mostly legislative in character I have been unsuccessful. I am not entitled to service by the Labor Union Society and the New York State Labor Union Society to represent me.

On the grounds that under labor law all copy book expenses
were to be funded by the appropriate collection bargaining agent. In effect it
was the property of the union.

What is very pertinent and I should like to make a part of this
appeal, is the glaring fact that my counsel for collective bargaining represent-
ation has refused to accept any responsibility for my action in the past collection
of virtually thousands. Legislative compulsory unionism had it as a well
known fact that authority and responsibility for such is laid in a democratic
society.

I mention that a contributing factor to this litigation has been
the refusal of my counsel by the union against me when it refused to
recognize and refuse my claim to full immunity. Therefore I should like
respectfully request the Court to allow my counsel an attorney to the union
and their bill forthwith to pay all Court expenses and for the printing of the
record.

As the established fact of law that anyone representing a
subject in the law can be held accountable immediately once the subject
becomes known.

Little Rock, Ark., 7/7
November 11, 1958

To the Hon. J. L. Rogers,
District Attorney for the County
Ark. Appellate Division
I had for first judicial district
Chief of the Court
Respectful letter Receipt Requested

Respectfully yours, Attorney for
Harry Bruckner Defendant
HARRY BRUCKNER
58-46 212, 1st
Little Rock, Ark., 7/7.

Back and forth member
Local 6 International Workers
General Workers Union AFL-CIO

Court of Special Sessions
County of New York
The People of the State of New York
Against
Harry Bruckner Defendant

TOP SECRET

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE

Bruckner, Harry

X

X

I hereby try my petition for appeal from the judgment of conviction that herein on the 24th day of September 1958 Defendant hereby make the following declaration.

I contend that the route leading up to my arrest and subsequent conviction are the direct result of an improper Federal labor law. Male present labor law a mark and the union members is subjected to possible loss of employment if he should attempt to entice and for commit for corruption and for conflict of interest on the part of union officials also under Federal labor law it appears that union members who is discouraged as the union the State District Attorney is prohibited from investigating any complaints of mark and file members who explain that their mandatory dues are being improperly used for political purposes without their consent.

Because the officials of my union are corrupt and are suffering from a conflict of interest, which I maintain is the Federal Party, they steadfastly refused to represent me at any time, for actually I was enticing them to commit under labor laws, which in effect placed all union members in a condition of involuntary servitude.

Furthermore my arrest was obtained by Federal employees, who committed a state crime, and yet they enjoy immunity from prosecution because of their governmental function.

The expense of having the transcript and all records of my arrest and conviction printed is beyond my means therefore I respectfully request that the State become an interested party in my behalf so that my appeal to be heard solely in the

②

base of the original records.

It is my belief that the State Court are not qualified to rule on this involved matter for it deals in part with the Constitutionality of the creation of the creation of a police state brought about by Congress Federal employees and Corruption officials under immunity granted by Federal law. Only the United States Supreme Court is qualified to rule on this point of law; therefore, I trust you will grant an early calendar date.

Dated Little Rock, Ark.,
November 5, 1958

Respectfully Yours,
Harry Bruckner
Attorney for defendant
58-46 262 Street
Little Rock, Ark.

To: Mr. Frank S. Hogan
District Attorney Ark. County

Chief of Appellate Division
State for the First Judicial District
Chief of the Court

Respectful return being requested

(Seal)

Number Local 10,
International Ladies Garment
Workers Union

C O P Y

Court of Special Sessions
County of New York

The People of the State of New York
Against
Harry Bruckner Defendant

TOP SECRET

Supplementing my petition for appeal for the judgement of conviction heard herein on the 29th day of September 1958 defendant hereby makes the following declaration.

I contend that the events leading up to my arrest and subsequent conviction are the direct result of an improper Federal labor law. Under present labor law a rank and file union member is subjected to possible loss of employment if he should attempt to ? and for comment upon corruption and for conflict of interest on the part of union officials. Also under Federal labor law it appears that union membership is discouraged as the use of the state District Attorney is prohibited for investigating any complaints of rank and file members who complain that their mandatory dues are being improperly used for political purposes without their consent.

Because the officials of my union are corrupt and for suffering from a conflict of interest, which I maintain is the Liberal Party, they steadfastly refused to represent me at any time, for actually I was criticizing their immunity under labor laws, which in effect placed all union members in a condition of involuntary servitude.

Furthermore my arrest was obtained by Federal employees, who committed a state crime, and yet they enjoy immunity from prosecution because of their governmental function.

The expense of having the transcript and all records of my arrest and conviction presented is beyond my means therefore I respectfully request that the State become an interested party in my behalf or else permit my appeal to be heard solely in the basis of the original records.

It is my belief that the State Courts are not qualified to rule on this involved matter for it deals in part with the Constitutionality of the creation of the creation of a police State brought about by corrupt Federal employees and corrupt union officials under immunity granted by Federal law. Only the United States Supreme Court is qualified to rule on this point of law; therefore, I trust you will grant an early calendar date:

Dated Little Neck, N.Y. - November 5, 1958 Respectfully yours,

signed)Harry Bruckner)
Attorney for defendant
58-46 262 Street
Little Neck, N.Y.

To: Hon. Frank S. Hogan
District Attorney N.Y. County

Clerk of Appellate Div.
In and for the First Judicial District
Clerk of the Court
Registered Return receipt Requested

(SEAL)

Member Local 10
International Ladies Garment
Workers Union

Mr. Jean L. Hoffa, President
International Brotherhood of Teachers
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Hoffa,

58-46 262 Street
Little Rock, Ark.
October 1, 1958

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE

Bruckner, Harry

Monitor Godfrey P. Schmidt appears to have overplayed
his hand and I sincerely suggest that he be rebuffed vigorously.

Apparently representing a very small group who claimed the
entire I.B.T. election was rigged. I am disappointed with
the turn of the court decree, and requested that a receiver be appointed.

It appears obvious that Mr. Schmidt is attempting to
seize his will not only over the membership but also over the
assets.

Your plan for holding another election next year is proper,
and it should settle all doubts as to the will of the membership.

Because of people like Mr. Schmidt I sincerely believe that
legislation is necessary because rules of procedure applicable to
all unions will be established.

With best wishes, I am,

Sincerely yours,
Harry Bruckner
HARRY BRUCKNER

Edith
New York Times
NYC, N.Y.

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE 58-46 262 Steel
Buckner, Harry Little Rock, N.Y.
X
X
Re: Dealing With The Steel Rebels
Sept 25, 1958

Dear Sir:

Your approval of the manner by which Pres David McDonald of the United Steel Workers attempted to destroy his democratic opposition is reprehensible. Apparently you are of the belief that Peoples democracy or totalitarian democracy is preferred.

Mr. David and his supporters were degraded, slandered, and subjected to numerous indignities from one end of the country to the other, because of Pres McDonalds democratic criticisms.

Obviously the abortive attempt to expel his opposition failed and now you recommend that there be a fair trial by his fellow unionists. He denied Mr. David as being only in the fair fight democratic procedure, and instead urge that a "public review board" be established.

The dispute between Pres McDonald and Mr. David is over the question of union policy and I question your judgment in sponsoring a group of outsiders, no matter how distinguished, supposedly impartial to supersede the right of the membership and their duly elected officers to decide what is in their best interest.

In an article it must appear strong that Mr. David does not bring charges against Pres McDonald. I am confident the answer is simple that such acts are the democratic Constitution of Pres David's Intentional Expulsion of United Workers Union. A member could be charged by a member of the international executive board but they are immune to charges brought by anyone outside their international executive board.

In my local branch Mr. Talbot refuses to permit me to state my views to the membership at a general meeting because I disagree with him. Certainly he is afraid the members would agree with me, rather than his views which I believe are just.

As to Mr. David's Intentional Expulsion of United Workers Union I believe it is to be

to defendant in Special Session 80 Court Street Page 74 in The
People of the State of New York vs Harry Bruckner, April 29, 1938

As to the fact that I retaliated to the provocation or
aggression of the attorney at the Federal Labor Selection Board I am now
confronted with the stigma of acquiring a police record.

I plead not guilty and can conclusively prove that I was not
the aggressor but the victim of aggression.

As I do to demand a fair trial without unnecessary delay
(over six months already) contrary to the terms of the United States Constitution
which is supposed to be the law of the land.

I insist that the case be heard and if there is no violence to
support the charges I am found not guilty.

Residing in Donald had my daughter accused at the National
Labor Selection Board like cases that acceptance of position carries with it
the acceptance of responsibility. If they are ignorant or irresponsible the public
has the right to know about it, which is the duty of the press.

To President James R. Hoffa credit to be his withstood concerted
efforts for all the criticism and questions he has been subjected to.

Ultimately, in the new future, I am confident that Mr. Laniel, Mr. Hoffa
and myself will be vindicated by public opinion once the political treachery
of the advocates of socialist domination is laid to rest.

Further constructive democratic labor legislation will be enacted
which will recognize that labor is a commodity and that workers join unions
for the purpose of collective bargaining for their mutual best interests. It proves
it obvious that labor is the property of the AFL-CIO is violation of the 14th Amendment.
When socialism comes in, the law of the land goes out.

Sincerely yours,
Harry Bruckner
HARRY BRUCKNER

C O P Y

58-46 262 Stral
Little Neck, N. J.
September 20, 1958

Editor
New York Times
New York City, N. Y.

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE

Bruce Kuss, Harry

Dear Sir:

President Walter Reuther UAW CIO-AFL Champion of the Socialist Democrats appears to have outsmarted himself. Also he is now in a position to prove conclusively that everything Mr. Joseph Karp wrote about him is true and probably understated.

After almost three months of watchful waiting without a contract he deliberately chose as his most opportune battlefield the time when the automobile companies would start this 1959 production. Weeks of sparring with General Motors went by fruitlessly and the he signed with Ford a contract bringing its UAW members the best ever in Mr. Reuther's regime.

Confident that his rear was protected with this contract with Ford or the Ford Foundation he boldly declared that he now wants more from Chrysler or General Motors as his price for labor peace and uninterrupted production.

Chrysler and General Motors already have agreed to accept the Ford conditions and I sincerely wonder if any stockholder in either company hasn't a legal case if their company is blackmailed or by Mr. Reuther to place them in an inferior position competitively with Ford.

Mr. Reuther is the final negotiation for the UAW with the entire automobile industry and it appears to be an act of "economic racketeering or discrimination" for him to request excessive demands of some companies. The same applies to the much mentioned local issues.

I maintain that all industry wide collective bargaining such as Mr. Reuther personally conducts should be resolved at equal conditions otherwise Mr. Reuther could be open to the substantiated charge of negotiating a "sweetheart agreement" with Ford. However he said he would not accept as carbon copy of the Ford contract and it is assumed he did not refer to names, addresses or dates.

He has burned his bridges behind him because of his arrogant attitude that he is responsible to no one except possibly the Ethical Practice Committee, and what a farce that is. He retreated in face of determined opposition to his infamous profit sharing scheme which would have compelled the Treasurer of the United States to come to him for funds to run the government and it remains to be seen whether he will retreat now to acceptance of the Ford contract as the industry wide formula.

If he persists in carrying on his war of nerves with Chrysler and General Motors and strikes these companies he will be open to attack on three fronts. His over 90,000 members in Ford could not look upon with relish the thought that they were sold out for reasons best known to Mr. Reuther. In addition he, his supporters and the Committee on Political Education could not blame the Eisenhower Administration for his personal men made unemployed.

Furthermore I contend, that even if he now makes a face saving retreat for the

= 2 =

honor of maintaining the economic rise, your paper owes Mrs. William F. Knowland Senator Knowland's wife a public apology. She was greatly embarrassed by your overt attempt to protect Mr. Reuther by injecting the "red Herring" of Mr. Kamp causing Mr. Paul Butler of the Democratic Party to criticize as unethical Senator Knowland's campaign. It would be interesting to know just how much influence Mr. Reuther has over the Democratic Party.

After your'e through research and expose of Mrs. Knowland, Mr. Kamp, and even purchasers of his material I sincerely wonder why you have been unable to confirm or publish back in February that President David Dubosky after he was aware that I was being denied the right to work and my union constitutional appeal procedures were denied my requests that he his constitutional powers and hold an investigation.

And to think that Mr. Meany wants immunity to "clean his own house" Of what, anyone who does not approve of Socialism.

Sincerely yours,

/s/ Harry Bruckner

HARRY BRUCKNER

P.S. I didn't have to send you the information about Mr. Reuther but I couldn't see one worker lose one minute because of his ego. His star already is setting.

Editor
New York Times
New York City, N.Y.

Dear Sir:

St-46 262 Street
Little Neck, N.Y.
September 20, 1958

President Walter Reuther UAW CIO-AFL champion of the Socialist Democrats appears to have interested himself. Also he is now in position to prove conclusively that everything Mr. Joseph Kamp wrote about him is true and probably understated.

After almost three months of watchful waiting without a contract he deliberately chose as his next appropriate battlefield the time when the automobile companies would start their 1959 production. Wages of bargaining with General Motors went by fruitlessly and then dramatically he signed with Ford a contract bringing to UAW members the best known in the auto industry.

Confident that this year was protected with this contract with Ford or the Ford tradition he boldly declared that he now went now for Chrysler and General Motors as his prize for labor peace and uninterrupted production.

Chrysler and General Motors already have agreed to accept the Ford condition and I sincerely wonder if any stockholder in either company has a legal case if their company is blackmailed or coerced by Mr. Reuther to place them in an inferior position competitively with Ford.

Mr. Reuther is the first negotiator for the UAW with the entire automobile industry and it appears to be an act of "economic racketeering or discrimination" for him to request excessive demands of some companies. The same applies to the much mentioned local issues.

I maintain that all industry wide collective bargaining such as Mr. Reuther personally conducts should be resolved at equal conditions otherwise Mr. Reuther could be open to the substantiated charge of racketeering and "sweetheart agreements" with Ford. However he said he would not sign a carbon copy of the Ford contract and it is assumed he did not refer to names.

② address or date.

He has buried his budget behind him because of his arrogant attitude that he is responsible to no one except possibly the Ethical Practice Committee, and to take from that. He retreated in face of determined opposition to his infamous profit-slaving scheme which would have compelled the Treasurer of the United States to come to him for funds to run the government and it remains to be seen whether he will retreat now to acceptance of the Ford Contract as the end of the world.

If he persists in carrying on his war of nerves with Chrysler and several others that strike their companies he will be open to attack on three fronts. His over 90,000 members in Ford could not look upon with rebuke the thought that they were sold out for reasons best known to Mr. Leathers. In addition to his supporters and the Committee on Political Education could not blame the Eisenhower Administration for his personal war made unpropaganda.

Further, I contend, that even if he now makes a few saving returns for the long maintenance of the economic rise, your paper owes Mrs. William F. Knowland, State Knowlands wife a public apology. She was greatly embarrassed by your overt attempt to protect Mr. Leathers by expecting the red herring of the kidnapping of the Paul Buttle of the Democratic Party to cut into as unethical Senator Knowlands campaign. It would be interesting to know just how much influence Mr. Leathers has in the Democratic Party.

After your thorough research and exposure of Mrs. Knowland's war camp and even purchases of his material I sincerely wonder why you have been unable to confirm or publish back in February that Mrs. Leathers had been after he was aware that Mrs. Leathers denied the right to work and my own constitutional appeal procedures were denied against my requests that he revoke his constitutional powers and hold his own trust.

And to think that Mr. Leathers wants immunity to clear his own house of what, again, who does not approve of Leathers.

P.S. I didn't have to send you this explanation about Mr. Leathers but I didn't see you when I was in your office. He's already in setting.

Sincerely yours,
Harry Bruckner
HARRY BRUCKNER

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE

Bruce Knorr, Harry

58-46 262 Subal
Ctts Rec. 1.9.
September 2, 1938

Senators William F. Knowland
Senate Office Building
Washington
Dear Senator Knowland:

As a rank and file member of Local 10 Industrial Union of Marine and Shipbuilding Workers I wish to praise your courageous struggle against almost insurmountable opposition to bring about much needed labor reform.

In particular I approve of your stand on Right to Work and anyone interested in an honest labor movement, as a sincere labor movement must agree. In a recent edition of my Ohio newspaper where two pages were used to spread lies about Right to Work which anyone in a debate could not defend.

Right to work is not a union buster or union weakening idea, but only a fear of labor union which would assume that union leaders would be responsible for their members and not their masters.

Another idea which is very unpopular and undesirable is the use of dues money or "voluntary" contributions via a checkoff system for political purposes. ^{which} ~~having~~ nothing to do with collective bargaining.

In January of this year for criticizing undesirable political policies of my Union I was denied the Right to Work and my job security revoked by my Union.

I spoke with several newspaper reporters and all were afraid to touch the story for fear they would receive similar treatment by their Union.

It is incredible that such a situation could exist in the United States, but the facts are open to anyone not afraid to investigate the totalitarian left wing operations of the Committee on Political Education.

Your fight for workable, constructive labor legislation will be appreciated and sanctioned by the great rank and file who are loyal and patriotic Americans.

Sincerely yours,
Harry Knorr
HARRY BRUCKNER

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE

Bruckner, Harry

58-46 262 Street
Little Rock, Ark.
August 17, 1938

Editor
New York Times
Aug. 2, 4
Dear Sir:

The Catalina Clapper got their signals mixed. They knew they were to see the anti-fascist and especially the President of the United States but they bowed for Mitchell long before he said anything. (Note: Received N.Y. Times 9/1/38)

The North Carolina of the United States Working Political Committee was in session and as all the news was rehearsed. To be precise, the President David McDonald explained that Mr. Mitchell was, at first, a member of the stage managed delegation from the Mitchell group that shocked the nation.

Secretary Mitchell passed on the Political Education address David McDonald administration, apparently not aware that Mr. Donald C. Riech who pulled me, third of the text in the last clipping was permitted only one hundredth of the committee's deliberations and is now being threatened with expulsion for fostering political views contrary to those approved by Mr. McDonald or Mr. Mitchell.

It will be interesting to follow the folly of Mr. McDonald after he and his delegation refused to say for a Committee in an effort to resolve differences with the Riech group. Mr. Riech's group is protesting a 40% increase in monthly dues which they contend is too high for political purposes they are opposed to.

Mr. Riech's group has over 250,000 members and if because of and because of the threat the bank was put from the hearing, no other man will, Secretary Mitchell call out the troops and tanks to protect the Committee's Political Education interest.

Will you be able to investigate the unpopular Committee Political Education? Apparently not for the Ethical Committee Committee's approval.

As for Mr. Riech's group, the last attack on "Crooks" is management's suggestion that he confine his efforts to those who represent the rank and file.

Sincerely yours,
Harry Bruckner
HARRY BRUCKNER

P.S. Had he think that a count against the Society to request that people in the Committee but due to the loss of 250,000 members are to be expelled.

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE

Bruckner, Harry

X

X

5846 262 Street
Little Neck, N.Y.
September 13, 1958

COPY

Hon. Frank S. Hogan, District Attorney County of New York
155 Teneer Street
New York City, N.Y.

The People of the State of New York

vs

Harry Brucker
Special Sessions

Dear Mr. Hogan:

Please be advised once again that my trial is scheduled for September 29, 1958. As before I shall be my own counsel and I trust that your office or Judge Gaaner will once again use this as the excuse to delay the hearing of my trial.

To be determined is whether the National Labor Relations Board a Federal Agency is justified in having me arrested by the police department a State Agency after I became aware that my charge was being tampered with. I am ready to prove that any and all acts of disorderly conduct or malicious mischief on my part were strictly due to provocation on the part of employees of the N.L.R.B. who had taken the law into their own hands, in an attempt to deprive me of due process.

I have already contacted Representative Owen Harris, Chairman House Committee on Legislative Oversight to convene his committee to investigate why the N.L.R.B. guides itself that it is proper for a union to commit fraud, violence and a racketeering against its members. I wonder if I am receiving this treatment because my charge is against the International Ladies Garment Workers and not the Teamsters who feel the same way as I about the Committee on Political Education.

As a resident and taxpayer of New York State I could only look upon with suspicion any further delays by your office, in order to prevent me from having a fair trial without unreasonable delay. Because I consider my trial public service I am not and will not look upon with favor any repeal on your part to prosecute. I am confident I can justify any of my actions.

It is unfortunate that the N.L.R.B. feels it is a law making body, responsible only to itself, and not to Congress which passed the law which established it. However any deviation from Congress in that is their responsibility and not mine.

Sincerely yours,

/s/ Harry Bruckner

Harry Bruckner

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE

ST-46 262

Bruckner, Harry

100th St, N.Y.

Mr. Frank L. Hogan District Attorney
155 Grand Street
N.Y.C.

The People of the State of New York

Harry Bruckner Special Sessions

Dear Mr. Hogan:

Please be advised once again that my trial is scheduled for September 23, 1958. As before I shall be my own counsel and I shall be the one to present my case. I am sure you will see this as the reason for delay in bringing my trial to be determined whether the delay takes place before or after my arrest. I am sure that my case is being delayed with. I am ready to prove that any and all facts of disorderly conduct or malicious mischief on my part were strictly due to provocation on the part of employees of the HRCB who had taken the law into their own hands in an attempt to deprive me of due process.

I have already contacted representatives of the Harris Chain, Harris Committee and Legislative Council to cause the Committee to investigate why the HRCB guide staff failed to prepare for a union to commit fraud, violence and the subversion against its members. I know if I am receiving the treatment because my Chair is against the International Union. I know I know that not the treatment who feel the same way as I about the Committee on Political Education.

As a result of this I am sure that I will not look you with suspicion any further delays by your office, in order to spare me from having a fair trial without unnecessary delay. I am sure that my trial in public service I am not and without look you with favor any refusal or your part to prosecute. I am confident I am justified by my action.

It is important that the HRCB feel it is a law making body responsible only to itself and not to Congress which passed the law which established it. I am sure any decision from Congress is not in this responsibility and action.

Sincerely yours
Harry Bruckner
HARRY BRUCKNER

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE
Bruckner, Harry
X
X

September 9, 1958

C
O
P
Y

Mr. Harry Bruckner
58-46 262 Street
Little Neck, New York

Dear Mr. Bruckner:

Your letter of September 5th has been received and its contents noted.

Thank you very much for your kind interest.

Very truly yours,

H. J. Gibbons
Executive Assistant to
the General President

HJG/JK/JS

Governor Robert B. Taylor
State Capital
Trenton, N. J.

58-46 262 Street
Little Neck, N. Y.
September 5, 1958

Dear Governor Taylor:

With reference to the union organizing methods in the knitting goods industry of Northern New Jersey I agree with you that labor abuses must be prevalent, and I commend your interest in attempting to track them down.

The knit goods industry has been in New Jersey for some good number of years and at this late date I cannot but be amazed for skilled or semi-skilled garment workers to get them a garbage collection truck in order to obtain the benefits that workers receive when represented by a responsible organization.

If the workers feel they have been treated as garbage I see no valid reason why you should interfere and prevent them from selecting a new bargaining agent, even if it is the Teamsters. However violent or disorderly conduct should be dealt with by local authorities.

As for the dirty talk mentioned by the Senate Rackets Committee, I recall that two Governors already have been accused, and I am confident that your own officials are capable of protecting and maintaining the borders.

After a report is made by your office I shall be most happy to receive a copy of it.

With best wishes, I am,

Sincerely yours,
Harry Bruckner
HARRY BRUCKNER
Rank and file member Local 110
International Ladies Garment Workers Union

File
Editor
New York World Telegram and Sun
Barclay Street
N.Y.C. 27
Dear Sir:

58-46262 Attn
Lobby Desk, 27
July 9, 1958

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE

Bruckner, Harry

X

As a rank and file union member I strongly object to your unwarranted criticism of President James R. Hoffa featured in today's editorial. For reasons best known to yourself your paper quietly observed the treachery of the political activists, and I do not consider it fair or well advised to continue painting Mr. Hoffa as a bogey man.

I consider the proposed Transportation Conference no more a threat to our free enterprise system than the Sea and Steel Institute. However, to allay your fears I contend, and I believe Mr. Hoffa will agree, that the trade union movement will readily submit to anti-trust regulation no stricter than that applied to management.

As for Mr. Murray it is apparent he is being persecuted for being taken by the political activists. He represents the men of the stature change to a policy which can only lead to the destruction of. You must choose between Mr. Hoffa or myself.

Sincerely
Harry Bruckner
Author Political Action Payoff

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE

Bruckner, Harry

The Political Action Payoff #4 ^x

When a Splinter Hurts It Should Be Removed or How to
Talk Soft and Carry a Big Stick

To all the Government officials whom I named in this series I deeply regret that I had to reflect on their judgment. I did not relish this method but saw amikag no other way of showing how completely irresponsible the political actionists have been in their effort to establish a Socialist Society.

Somehow they have obtained opinions that Labor Law, whatever that is, supercedes Civil Law and even the Bill of Rights. A few months ago, after being denied the right to work by my Local for criticizing the Socialist Policy of the Liberal Party, I went to the New York Civil Liberty Union and was told in effect that under Labor Law, I was the property of the Union and not entitled to representation.

Every law enforcing agency told me they had no jurisdiction in this matter so I finally contacted the McClellan Committee to investigate whether I was paying dues to a labor union or a political party.

Much has been discussed in Congress about making Unions responsible and at the same time democratic. However, only the reluctant Socialists views were heard for they had conveniently labeled anyone who disagreed with them Anti-labor or racketeers.

As a rank and file union member who believes in the Labor movement and also civic responsibility I feel my views would be most constructive to the McClellan Committee and have requested that of Chief Counsel Robert Kennedy that I be invited to appear.

I maintain it would be a tragic oversight to submit legislation to insure democratic procedure, which leaves the rank and file member with an obligation to pay dues in order to work, and yet hasn't any protection or means of relief if any of his rights and privileges are denied improperly.

Above all I am interested in preserving the trade union movement and if this is objectionable to anyone I believe they also should be permitted to voice their opinions.

A trade union member is a worker who has pride in his craft, he has civic responsibility, and contrary to the Socialist unions obtains his measure of social justice by the value he places on his skill, not his ability to vote.

Harry Bruckner
58-46 262 Street
Little Neck, New York

The Political Action Payoff # 4
When a Political Party Should Be Renowned or How to Talk
Soft and Carry a Big Stick

To all the government officials whom I named in this series I deeply regret that I had to reflect on their judgment. I did not select this method but saw no other way of showing how completely irresponsible the political actionists have become in their effort to establish a Socialist society.

Somehow they have obtained opinions that labor law is not a labor law, superceded civil law and even the Bill of Rights. A few months ago, after being denied the right to work by my local for endorsing the Socialist party of the industrial party, I went to the New York Civil Liberties Union, and was told in effect, the answer labor law, I was the property of the Union and not entitled to representation.

Every law enforcing agency told me they had no jurisdiction in the matter, so I finally contacted the the Citizens Committee to investigate whether they were doing a labor union or political party.

Much has been discussed in Congress about making unions responsible and at the same time democratic. However only the industrial unionists were heard, for they had conveniently labeled anyone who disagreed with them as a labor or racketeer.

As a rank and file union member who believes in the labor movement, and also civil responsibility I feel my views would be most instructive to the the Citizens Committee and have requested Chief Counsel Robert Kennedy that he invited to appear.

I mention it would be a tragic oversight to submit legislation to ensure democratic procedure, which leaves the rank and file member with an obligation to pay dues in order to work, and yet has no protection or means of relief if any of the right and

privileges are denied improperly.

Above all I am interested in preserving the trade union movement, and if this is objectionable to anyone I believe they also should be permitted to voice their opinions.

A trade union member, as a worker who keeps his craft, he has civic responsibility, and contrary to the socialist unions obtain his measure of social justice by the value he places on his skill, not his ability to vote.

Larry Buchanan
58-46 262 Street
Little Rock, Ark.

Mr. James Toffa, President
International Brotherhood of Teamsters
Washington, D.C.
Dear Mr. Toffa:

58-46 262 Street
Little Rock, Ark.
May 25, 1958

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE

Bruckner, Harry

X

X

Supplementing my letter of last week to you on the use of
closed circuit television to check on shoplifting, I wish to direct
your attention to an excellent article on this subject appearing on
pages 14 and 15 in today's "New York Herald Tribune".

Being in New York City at present, you may be aware of
this article. However you should keep in mind I also informed you of
the bugging by the Transit Authority police.

It appears that both you and I have become victims
of the tactics in organized labor, and their hired press agent,
who feel that the way to organize labor is first to organize Congress
by using the Political Action Committee. As neither you nor I felt this
was proper Union activity, we were deemed undesirable.

On or about February, 1958 I wrote to Sen. The Clerk that
after discussing, questioning and then cross-examining you and Mr.
McHenry of Local 18 Operating Engineers Union, N.Y. it was a
national disgrace for him to have recommended that the Ethical
Political Committee clear you both up. At that moment I admitted
there was no a shred of substantiated evidence against you or Mr.
McHenry for wrongdoing. However the tactics were not concerned
about the effect of slandering you as organized labor so long as
they were able to consolidate and strengthen their political action
units. In spite of the fact that this was contrary to the best
interests of organized labor and our great country.

Sincerely, in Local 10, International Ladies Garment

Workers Union 218 West 46th Street N.Y.C. 19, of which I am a member,
I have continuously criticized the present leadership for using
the Local to cover up their extensive outside political activity.

At the moment, I am awaiting trial in Court of Special
Sessions, September 29, 1958 for opposing a conspiracy in the National
Labor Relations Board 2 Park Avenue N.Y.C. to permit the Union
to be used as a political party.

It appears that District Attorney Frank J. Hogan is
embarrassed that he has been put in the position of having to
prosecute a responsible member of organized labor in order to protect
the interest of the irresponsible political reactionists.

I have informed District Attorney Hogan that I shall
never permit him to drop the case against me so long as
Mrs. David Lubinsky, International Ladies Garment Workers Union
feels the Union's best interests are served by the Liberal Party
which he sponsors.

Organized labor has a definite place in society and can
grow immeasurably once the leaders recognize that the Bill of
Rights in the United States Constitution is guaranteed in Union Constitution.

I shall be pleased to meet with you, at your convenience,
and discuss how organized labor can once again gain the respect of
the general public. You are most welcome at my home anytime.

Sincerely yours,
Harry Bruckner

58-46 262 Sub
Little Rock, Ark.
May 18, 1958

Mr. James Hoffa, President
International Brotherhood of Teamsters
Dear Mr. Hoffa:

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE

Bruckner, Harry

In the best interest of organized labor, I can't figure out why you are being persecuted for attempting to cooperate with the AFL-CIO Executive Committee.

The entire country was dramatically informed about corruption, violence etc etc etc in the Teamsters Union and practically every charge could be substantiated by an assigned letter. Confronted by this staggering amount of violence, it was only natural for Mr. Beck and yourself to become the chief targets in the labor movement. These terrorists will stop at nothing to slander organized labor in an attempt to glorify themselves as being the only ones capable of determining good from evil.

The hated millions in the Teamsters treasury dwindled down to a worn out automobile and the New York City Transit Authority policemen who bugged a labor organization meeting hall were all given promotions for attempting to prevent this group from organizing, and gaining recognition.

Furthermore it is common practice in the New York City school system to listen in on any classroom, and many Companies have closed circuit television to check on shoplifting.

The terrorists have so brainwashed the public that anything you say will not be believed, and most likely that is why you had to play dumbly of the recording equipment.

In an effort to obtain solid evidence of any unethical practices by your officers you had this system installed. Now the tenants discovered that the installation did not receive a permit from the Department of Housing and Building and now you were tripped by your own attempt not to forewarn any of the tenants in your headquarters.

The tenants have special agents constantly watching all Building Department activity and that is why you had to resort to subterfuge.

It is a credit to New York's fine District Attorney Frank Hogan, and all the other law enforcing officials in our country, that they have not accepted as "legal suffering" the carloads of assigned letters sent to them absconding organized labor.

To them corruption is corruption, violence is violence, and given substantiated evidence they will prosecute anyone.

Thanks to our fine press I became interested in your difficulties, and now wish to strongly suggest that you defend yourself fearlessly. Only this way does organized labor ever have a chance of becoming reunited honorably.

Sincerely yours,
Harry Buchman